

Amber Owen

From: Roy Noble <Roy.Noble@transpower.co.nz>
Sent: Tuesday, 26 April 2016 1:14 p.m.
To: Amber Owen
Subject: RE: Suggested conditions for Duck Creek North - WGN160028 & RC6922-SL0046/15

To the Hearings Panel,

Thank you for the ability to make comment on the suggested conditions. As submitters, Jackie and I are generally happy with the suggested conditions, however feel that additional clarification could be made to two of the conditions.

In our submission we felt that the length river bank shaping and the length of that shaping should more extensive as the vertical banks bounding our property will continue to erode. We further stated that leaving the vertical banks along the proposed "informal walkway" would form a safety hazard for the young children that are being attracted to the park setting. Sections 6.139 and 6.140 of the PCC Officers' report covered this concern, noting that they also considered it necessary that any areas of the creek that are adjacent to walkways or public open space areas should be designed to be safe to the public. They further went on to state "A condition will be imposed for this to occur".

In the summary of Day 2 of the hearing (page 21 of 27), a summary of Mr Jones statements notes: "Duck Creek corridor in response to Nobles submission: Concerns over bank stability and safety. In "Pocket Park"/"Brookside Park". Would not want steep banks in open amenity space. [Plan C251]. Would have to add condition to that effect."

For avoidance of doubt, we assume the following conditions take this issue into account as below:

PCC condition 61 that states:

Prior to the commencement of construction of civil works, a Services Plan along with any supporting calculations, showing the layout and position of proposed roading and services (water, storm water and sewer), and **any site works and construction** (other than residential buildings), shall be submitted for Pre-Engineering Acceptance to the satisfaction of the Manager Resource Consents, Policy, Planning & Regulatory Services.

We assume that this allows PCC to ensure the bank design adjacent to the public spaces are safe. Perhaps the condition could be clearer.

GWRC Consent 33621 (Water Permit) Condition 14(c) that states:

Details and plans of the location of any non-compensative requirements within the identified riparian mitigation planting zone, including but not limited to any stormwater outlets, retention areas and other infrastructure, pathways, and maintenance accessways, and as well as bank stabilisation measures in general accordance with those shown in Plan 251, as attached to the Joint Statement of the Flood and Erosion experts, dated 6 April 2016.

We assume that this allows GWRC to ensure the bank design adjacent to the public spaces are safe. Perhaps the condition could be clearer, as bank stabilisation measures are required for more than just flood and erosion control.

Regards,

ROY NOBLE

From: Amber Owen [mailto:Amber.Owen@gw.govt.nz]
Sent: Friday, 22 April 2016 4:28 p.m.
Subject: Suggested conditions for Duck Creek North - WGN160028 & RC6922-SL0046/15

Security Alert: This is an external email. Please take caution when opening any links or attachments.

I can confirm that the hearings panel are satisfied that their queries have been addressed following the receipt of the second joint planners statement on 21 April 2016. Following this, please see draft conditions attached. These are also available on the GWRC webpage at <http://www.gw.govt.nz/duckcreeknorth>.

Please note the GWRC version only shows the most recent changes and therefore should be read in conjunction with the version previously made available on the web here: <http://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Resource-Consents/Duck-Creek-North/Hearing-documents/Appendix-1GWRC-Updated-suggested-conditions-19-April-2016.pdf>.

As per direction 3 issued by the Hearings Panel on 20 April 2016, the submitters shall have five working days to review and provide comment to the Hearings Panel on the planners' recommended changes to the conditions that were set out in the s42A reports circulated in advance of the hearing. This is not an opportunity to comment on the conditions that are not recommended to be changed of on the wider application itself.

Any correspondence to the Hearings Panel should be directed through this email address.

Kind regards,

Amber Owen | Environmental Regulation Administrator
GREATER WELLINGTON REGIONAL COUNCIL
Te Pane Matua Taiao
Shed 39, 2 Fryatt Quay, Pipitea, Wellington 6011
T: 04 830-4154 | www.gw.govt.nz

ATTENTION: This correspondence is confidential and intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you are not the named recipient and receive this correspondence in error, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it and you should delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Unless otherwise stated, any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author, and do not represent those of the organisation.

ATTENTION: This correspondence is confidential and intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you are not the named recipient and receive this correspondence in error, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it and you should delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Unless otherwise stated, any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author, and do not represent those of the organisation.