

Thank you for hearing my submission 6th April 2016

My name is David Wyatt; I live at 4 Shoal Place Whitby, with my home being on the boundary of the proposed Jagger NZ Limited Brookside Development I will be directly impacted.

I'm not opposed to developments but I have genuine and serious concerns about this development and its impact on those of us who live in the nearside communities and general community at large.

I apologise in advance if my concerns have been addressed in the expert submissions that were notified on 21st & 29th March'16 as I simply haven't had sufficient time in which to review them in depth.

One glaring omission with all of the expert advice gained is there has been no impact assessment performed on the wellbeing of families who will be directly affected by this proposed development and indeed some of the stated decisions will also adversely impact on the local community. To which I will talk too later.

I've seen statements whereby this development is referred to as "green fields" - in my opinion with the development being built on three sides by existing homes it should be more actually be referred to as an "In Fill" development.

In my opinion this is a high density development and unsuitable to be built in-between a medium density suburb.

Reference David Wyatt Submission on Resource Consent Section B:

The consents requested by Jagger NZ Limited are to maximise their profits at the expense of existing home owners and larger community who will have to live with the consequences long after Jagger NZ Limited have packed up their earth moving equipment and departed Whitby.

The impact of consenting the use heavy duty construction machinery & Plant Monday to Friday between 8am & 6pm plus Saturdays between 8am and 4pm will have a huge impact on the wellbeing of local residence that include elderly and young families.

- Who wants to come home from busy days work to be confronted by excessive noise and to top that off intruding on Saturdays where you might want to enjoy a relaxing time with family and friends in the peace and quiet of your home or garden?
- The majority of these homes will not have double glazing to block out the noise of the construction.
- Where will the fill and other material be located until its required, also where will machinery being used on the development be located when not in use
 - Will the location visually impact on home owners

The construction phase will undoubtedly create a huge amount of dust with excavating, tipping of fill, re-laying to the required contours etc this again will have an adverse effect on the wellbeing of local residences. No matter what dust reduction methods are deployed they are rarely 100% effective.

- The external cladding and roofs of their houses will be covered in dust not to mention the dust that gets into the interior of their home - and heaven forbid that it's a windy day as the resulting dust will spread far and wide including the Creek & Inlet.
- Will Jagger NZ Limited be responsible for the regular cleaning bill of local homes?

The consent to maximise section house foot prints to 45% rather than 35%, front yard setbacks of 3 meters rather than 5 meters and reduction of proposed road widths exemplifies Jagger NZ Limited's consent request for high density housing to maximise their profits at the expense of the local residences and community.

- To my knowledge there have been no drawings made available of the vista that this will impose on the adjacent communities who will be left looking down onto a sea of roofs.

These similar issues will now be imposed on the wellbeing of families living adjacent to the proposed Brookside Development ... but will have a greater negative impact as the development is much much closer to their homes.

With all of the expert advice being obtained it would be of enormous value if it was consolidated into one document in which to afford a consolidated view to ascertain interdependencies risks, issues and mitigation plans.

In Conclusion:

In my opinion; there are far too many unknown risks and issues that have not been fully considered and until the full end to end impact on the wellbeing of the community is understood and consultation with them then consent should not be granted.