

Appendix 5: Summary Recommendation Table – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S101.001	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Oppose		Policy P30(b) Should the relief sought by the submitter with respect to Chapter 8 of the NRP not be accepted, the submitter opposes the proposed exclusion of these provisions as they relate to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Retain application of these provisions to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara (by removing the symbol).		Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1254	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - water quality improvements		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S101.026	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			4 Policies	Policy P77: Improving water quality for contact recreation and Māori customary use.	Amend		Should the relief sought by the submitter with respect to Chapter 8 of the NRP not be accepted, the submitter opposes the proposed exclusion of these provisions as they relate to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Retain application of these provisions to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara (by removing the symbol).		Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1279	Forest & Bird	4 Policies	Policy P77: Improving water quality for contact recreation and Māori customary use.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S101.031	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.	Amend		Should the relief sought by the submitter with respect to Chapter 8 of the NRP not be accepted, the submitter opposes the proposed note that excludes application of the objective and associated Tables 3.7 to 3.8 to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Delete the proposed amendments.		Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1284	Forest & Bird	4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM,	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).			
S101.032	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			4 Policies	Policy P36: Restoring Wairarapa Moana	Amend		Should the relief sought by the submitter with respect to Chapter 8 of the NRP not be accepted, the submitter opposes the proposed exclusion of this policy to Wellington Harbour.	Delete the proposed amendments.		Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1285	Forest & Bird	4 Policies	Policy P36: Restoring Wairarapa Moana		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S101.042	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Generally supports the intention of this policy but concerned that at an individual consent level, while endeavours are made to achieve (a) to (d), it may not always be practicable given the nature and scale of activities undertaken by regionally significant infrastructure. Considers the policy requires further amendment to recognise the operational and functional requirements of regionally significant infrastructure, consistent with Objective O9 of the NRP, and that as currently drafted the policy will limit the ability of infrastructure providers in the region to meet the needs of the regions communities.	Review the extent to which the policy should apply to sites containing critical infrastructure. Review whether such a policy is appropriate where it is also necessary to utilise natural and physical resources to meet the economic and social needs of Wellington's communities. In the alternative, amend the policy to ensure it considers the extent to which (a) to (d) is practicable in the context of regionally significant infrastructure. Or delete and revert to Operative NRP.		Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1295	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S101.043	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Considers the proposed policy is unduly onerous and blunt insofar as discharges and land use management are concerned and the chapeau of the policy needs to clarify that the target attribute states apply to freshwater only while the coastal water objectives apply to	Amend the policy to address the issues raised. Or delete and revert to Operative NRP.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								coastal water. Notes the definition of “unplanned greenfield development” makes reference to the undefined term “greenfield development” and “other greenfield development” and certainty is required around what is / is not captured by this policy. Considers it is not clear whether (a) would extend to infrastructure (such as Wellington Airport) or one-off developments for which resource consent can be sought. Considers if (a) were to apply to designations, it would render a well established and utilised tool under the RMA nugatory. Notes a proposal of WIAL to establish a small community precinct within an area of Open Space zoned land on Lyall Parade would effectively be prohibited under (a), despite the positive outcomes, including for the indigenous vegetation on site. Considers it is not clear what methods within the plan “encourage” redevelopment of existing urban areas. Considers it is not clear whether limb (c) relates to urban development which gives rise to stormwater discharges not otherwise discharging to rivers.			
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1296	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S105.013	Hannah Bridget Gray (No2) Trust (S105)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Different stock types have different impacts on waterbodies and riparian margins. Consistency with National Regulations for stock exclusion (beef cattle and deer only on mapped low-slope land) should be maintained.	stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock (as defined in the Resource Management (Stock Exclusions) Regulations 2020) from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and		Accept in part
S116.029	Taumata Arowai (S116)			8 Whaitua Te	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to	Amend		Notes policies and rules that establish requirements for wastewater and stormwater	Provisions that interface with complementary Taumata Arowai duties and powers are well aligned,		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
				Whanganui-a-Tara	achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.			networks provide clarity to network operators and will have a bearing on the quality and quantity of contaminant discharge. Considers that complementary provisions between the RMA, WSA and WSEA are well aligns, efficient, effective, and duplication is avoided where possible. Suggests a solution may be signalling the relationship between the different legislative requirements and how a single product (e.g. a stormwater management strategy) might be developed, reviewed and implemented, to meet both.	efficient and effective, and unnecessary duplication is avoided.		
	Taumata Arowai	FS23.597	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Submission points will assist with plan clarity and help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S116.081	Taumata Arowai (S116)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Notes policies and rules that establish requirements for wastewater and stormwater networks provide clarity to network operators and will have a bearing on the quality and quantity of contaminant discharge. Considers that complementary provisions between the RMA, WSA and WSEA are well aligned, efficient, effective, and duplication is avoided where possible. Suggests a solution may be signalling the relationship between the different legislative requirements and how a single product (e.g. a stormwater management strategy) might be developed, reviewed and implemented, to meet both.	Provisions that interface with complementary Taumata Arowai duties and powers are well aligned, efficient and effective, and unnecessary duplication is avoided.		Accept in part
	Taumata Arowai	FS23.649	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Submission points will assist with plan clarity and help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM,	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).			
S13.002	Sofia Holloway (S13)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P36: Restoring Wairarapa Moana	Amend		Seeks inclusion of Wellington Harbour (Port Nicholson).	Amend Policy P36 as follows: Policy P36: Restoring Te Awarua-o-Porirua Harbour, Wellington Harbour (Port Nicholson) and Wairarapa Moana The ecological health and significant values of Te Awarua-o-Porirua Harbour, Wellington Harbour (Port Nicholson) and Wairarapa Moana will be restored including by:		Reject
S151.072	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Supports the reference in clause (a) to 'progressively reducing the load' as reflecting the volume of work that needs to be achieved. Notes that under clause (c) not all locations will require enhancement. Seeks that for clause (d), 'work programmes' is defined or a more specific term used to clarify that it does not relate to local authority networks.	Retain clause (a) Replace 'enhancing' with 'maintaining or improving' in clause (c) Define or use a more specific term for 'work programmes' in clause (d) Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1399	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S151.073	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Refers to Section A of submission. Notes there is a lack of information relating to the baseline state to measure against so it is not possible to determine whether the TAS and CWO parameters and requirements are reasonable, appropriate and achievable. Considers it unclear how the TAS, CWO and Freshwater Action Plans will impact upon sub-catchment prioritisation of improvements required for stormwater and wastewater discharges. Considers clause (b) is too vague and should clearly state that redevelopment in existing urban areas will be encouraged noting this provides opportunities to reduce the	Clarify how the FAP provisions will work alongside existing TAS provisions, network discharge consent provisions, and in particular Schedules 31 and 32. Provide clarity over relationship between 'non-regulatory methods' and 'work programmes'. Amend policy to the extent necessary to appropriately reflect these interrelationships. Amend provision as follows: (b) encouraging and where appropriate, requiring that redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to shall reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								existing contaminant load, and redevelopment will be required to reduce the existing contaminant load. Considers clause (c) should make allowance for stormwater discharges that are not creating streambank erosion.	(c) imposing hydrological controls on: (i) urban development and (ii) where appropriate and practicable, stormwater discharges to rivers in relation to streambank erosion Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1400	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.140	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waka Kotahi will be subject to the same provisions relative to stormwater network discharge consents.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS45.084	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose in part	Kāinga Ora supports relief sought to the extent that it is consistent with its primary submission. Kāinga Ora opposes the requirement for redevelopment activities to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, but generally supports only requiring hydrological controls where appropriate and practicable in relation to SW discharges to rivers.	Disallow in part	Clarify how the FAP provisions will work alongside existing TAS provisions, network discharge consent provisions, and in particular Schedules 31 and 32. Provide clarity over relationship between 'non-regulatory methods' and 'work programmes'. Amend policy to the extent necessary to appropriately reflect these interrelationships. Amend provision as follows: (b)encouraging and where appropriate, requiring that redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to shall reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on: (i) urban development and (ii) where appropriate and practicable, stormwater discharges	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
										to rivers in relation to streambank erosion Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.	
S151.075	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Amend		Refers to Section A of submission and submission points on Table 8.5. Considers a detailed assessment of the implications of the TAS provisions is required on a sub-catchment basis to determine appropriateness of the requirements and 2040 timeframes, and implications for sub-catchment prioritisation.	Amendments to address the issues identified in Section A and submission points in relation to Table 8.5. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1402	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S151.076	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.	Amend		Refers to Section A of submission. Considers a detailed assessment of the implications of the TAS provisions is required on a sub-catchment basis to determine appropriateness of the requirements and 2040 timeframes, and implications for sub-catchment prioritisation. Considers further assessment is needed to address uncertainty regarding the modelled correlation between sediment loads and visual clarity. Notes that SedNet is a national scale model which has had to be adjusted to the scale of the target TAS locations and this may lead to higher levels of uncertainty. Notes that sediment loads, visual clarity and deposited sediment are influenced by factors within catchments outside of WWL's control including human land uses and natural factors.	Refer to Section A overarching submission points. Amend timeframe to 2060 Set TAS for visual clarity and deposited sediment by taking into consideration all contributing sediment sources, and address the following points: 1. How sediment load reductions will be measured in the future 2. How would proportionate contribution to sediment be measured and any reduction in this contribution be measured Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1403	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest &	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.			throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.141	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Waka Kotahi will be subject to the same provisions relative to stormwater network discharge consents.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S151.108	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Supports the reference in clause (a) to 'progressively reducing the load' as reflecting the volume of work that needs to be achieved. Seeks replacement of 'enhancing' with 'maintaining or improving' in clause (c) as not all locations will require enhancement. Seeks a definition of 'work programmes' in clause (d) or the use of a more specific term to clarify it does not relate to local authority networks.	Retain clause (a) Replace 'enhancing' with 'maintaining or improving' in clause (b) Define or use a more specific term for 'work programmes' in clause (d) to clarify that it does not relate to local authority networks Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1435	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S151.109	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Refers to Section A overarching submission points. Considers there is a general lack of information relating to the baseline state to measure against, meaning it is not possible to determine whether the TAS and CWO parameters and requirements are reasonable, appropriate and achievable. Considers it unclear how the TAS, CWO and Freshwater Action Plans will impact upon sub-catchment prioritisation of improvements required for stormwater and wastewater discharges. Questions how 'non-regulatory methods' relate to 'work programmes' in	Provide clarification how the FAP provisions will work alongside existing TAS provisions, network discharge consent provisions, and in particular Schedules 31 and 32. Provide clarity over relationship between 'non-regulatory methods' and 'work programmes'. Amend policy to the extent necessary to appropriately reflect these interrelationships. In addition to the above, amend provision as follows: (b) encouraging and where appropriate, requiring that redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to shall reduce		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								P.P1(d).Considers clause (b) is too vague and needs to clearly state that redevelopment in existing urban areas will be encouraged as that provides opportunities to reduce the existing contaminant load, and that redevelopment will be required to reduce the existing contaminant load. Considers clause (c) needs to make allowance for stormwater discharges that are not creating streambank erosion. Questions if 'networks' be in bold as a defined term in clause (d).	the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on: (i) urban development and (ii) where appropriate and practicable, stormwater discharges to rivers in relation to streambank erosion Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1436	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.168	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waka Kotahi will be subject to the same provisions relative to stormwater network discharge consents.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS45.085	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose in part	Kāinga Ora supports relief sought to the extent that it is consistent with its primary submission. Kāinga Ora opposes the requirement for redevelopment activities to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, but generally supports only requiring hydrological controls where appropriate and practicable in relation to SW discharges to rivers.	Disallow in part	Clarify how the FAP provisions will work alongside existing TAS provisions, network discharge consent provisions, and in particular Schedules 31 and 32. Provide clarity over relationship between 'non-regulatory methods' and 'work programmes'. Amend policy to the extent necessary to appropriately reflect these interrelationships. Amend provision as follows: (b)encouraging and where appropriate, requiring that redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to shall reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on: (i) urban development and	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
										(ii) where appropriate and practicable, stormwater discharges to rivers in relation to streambank erosion Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.	
S151.110	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.	Oppose		Refers to Section A overarching submission points. Considers there is a general lack of information relating to the baseline state to measure against, meaning it is not possible to determine whether the CWO parameters and requirements are reasonable, appropriate and achievable. Considers the timeframe of 2040 is too ambitious for the scale of work that needs to be carried out.	Change the timeframe to 2060 and provide further detail in relation to the baseline states and required timeframes. Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1437	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S151.111	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.	Oppose		Refers to Section A overarching submission points. Considers there is a general lack of information relating to the baseline state to measure against, meaning it is not possible to determine whether the CWO parameters and requirements are reasonable, appropriate and achievable. Considers the timeframe of 2040 is too ambitious for the scale of work that needs to be carried out.	Change the timeframe to 2060 and provide further detail in relation to the baseline states and required timeframes. Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1438	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S151.112	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.	Oppose		Refers to Section A overarching submission points. Considers a detailed assessment of the implications of the TAS provisions is required on a sub-catchment basis to determine appropriateness of the requirements and 2040 timeframes, and implications for sub-catchment prioritisation. Considers there is uncertainty regarding the modelled correlation between sediment loads and visual clarity and further assessment is needed. SedNet is a national scale model which has had to be adjusted to the scale of the target TAS locations. This increased granularity may lead to higher levels of uncertainty. Furthermore, sediment loads, visual clarity and deposited sediment are influenced by factors within catchments outside of WWL's control including human land uses and activities and natural factors.	Set TAS for visual clarity and deposited sediment by taking into consideration all contributing sediment sources, and address the following points also need to be addressed: 1. How sediment load reductions will be measured in the future 2. How would proportionate contribution to sediment be measured and any reduction in this contribution be measured Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		No recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1439	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.169	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.		Support	Waka Kotahi will be subject to the same provisions relative to stormwater network discharge consents.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S16.009	Pauatahanui Residents Association (S16)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Concerned that regulatory methods can lead to perverse outcomes, including intensification as rural landowners may choose to subdivide to smaller block sizes to maximise a return.	Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, that encourage good management practices. Where measurable improvements in target attribute states are not being achieved, and where actions can have measurable outcomes such as discharges of contaminants, regulatory methods may be required		Reject
S161.011	GILLIES GROUP MANAGEMENT LTD (S161)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Opposes provisions for unplanned greenfield growth as the prohibited activity status does not provide a consenting pathway to consider a proposal that may have positive outcomes for the community or for freshwater. Notes that the s32 report states that all contaminants can be mitigated through treatment and financial contributions, and considers that prohibited activity status is inappropriate in this case. Further considers the prohibited activity status inconsistent with Policy 8 of the NPS-UD. Notes that the s32 report sets out the prohibited activity status to require both a regional and district plan change to enable greenfield development. Considers the need for two plan changes will be expensive and will make it difficult for market responsiveness to the provision of housing.	Amend policy as follows and make any other consequential relief necessary to give effect to this submission point: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
	GILLIES GROUP MANAGEMENT LTD	FS16.067	Woodridge Holdings Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Not stated	The use of a prohibited activity rule is a blunt instrument which conflicts with the NPS-UD and in particular Policy 8 and as such could prevent territorial authorities from meeting its ongoing requirements under the NPS-UD. This provision is likely to lead to unintended consequences. Prohibited activity status will affect the ability of territorial authorities to make strategic decisions on growth and create difficulties with minor changes to urban zoning. The prohibited status has not been reasonably justified, and that alternatives that could achieve the strategic intent of the rule without requiring a dual plan change process. The prohibited status removes a consenting pathway for proposals that may have positive outcomes for the community and for freshwater. As the s32 evaluation suggest that contaminants can be addressed through a combination of treatment and financial contributions, prohibited activity status inappropriate. The requirement for two plan changes to enable greenfield development will create challenges for the private sector's responsiveness to the housing needs, is onerous and costly, and could jeopardise the economic viability of development and supply of affordable housing. The prohibition laden objective and policy framework (both in NRP and RPS) would render future plan changes an impossibility as they would likely be identified as being	Allow	Amend policy so that greenfield developments are not prohibited.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								contrary to objectives and policies of the higher order planning framework set up by GW via PC1. GWRC should be considering each development individually, based on the merits and the impacts it has on the environment and any mitigation propose.			
	GILLIES GROUP MANAGEMENT LTD	FS46.056	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited.	Allow	(a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and	Accept in part
	GILLIES GROUP MANAGEMENT LTD	FS48.008	Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Agree with the submitter's concerns that the requirement for two plan changes will be expensive and will make it difficult for market responsiveness to the provision of housing. The prohibited activity status for unplanned greenfield development is inconsistent with the NPS-UD.	Allow in part	Opposes provisions for unplanned greenfield growth as the prohibited activity status does not provide a consenting pathway to consider a proposal that may have positive outcomes for the community or for freshwater. Notes that the s32 report states that all contaminants can be mitigated through treatment and financial contributions, and considers that prohibited activity status is inappropriate in this case. Further considers the prohibited activity status inconsistent with Policy 8 of the NPS- UD. Notes that the s32 report sets out the prohibited activity status to require both a regional and district plan change to enable greenfield development. Considers the need for two plan changes will be expensive and will make it difficult for market responsiveness to the provision of housing. Seeks the following changes: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and	Accept in part
S161.026	GILLIES GROUP			9 Te Awarua-o-	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to	Amend		Opposes provisions for unplanned greenfield growth. Considers that prohibited activity status does not	Amend policy as follows and make any other consequential relief necessary to give effect to this submission point:		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	MANAGEMENT LTD (S161)			Porirua Whaitua	achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.			provide a consenting pathway to consider a proposal that may have positive outcomes for the community or for freshwater. Notes that the s32 report states that all contaminants can be mitigated through treatment and financial contributions, and considers that prohibited activity status is inappropriate. Further considers the prohibited activity status inconsistent with Policy 8 of the NPS-UD. Notes that the s32 report sets out the prohibited activity status to require both a regional and district plan change to enable greenfield development. Considers the need for two plan changes will be expensive and will make it difficult for market responsiveness to the provision of housing.	Policy P.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
S165.011	Pukerua Holdings Limited (S165)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Opposes the unplanned greenfield growth policy and rules. Prohibited activity status provides no consenting pathway for proposals in these areas, even if they would have better outcomes for the community and freshwater than intensive rural activities. Notes that the section 32 report appears to state that all	Amend policy as follows and make any other consequential relief necessary to give effect to this submission point: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								contaminants can be mitigated with a combination of treatment and the use of financial contributions (refer paragraph 64 of Part C) and considers that, if this is the case, the prohibited activity status is inappropriate in terms of effects management. Also considers the prohibited activity status is inconsistent with the NPS-UD, in particular Policy 8. Concerned about requiring district and regional plan changes and the significant time and cost associated with this. Concerns about the effects of two plan changes making it difficult to be responsive in providing housing and the economic viability of development.	activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants,		
	Pukerua Holdings Limited	FS46.057	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited.	Allow	(a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and	Accept in part
S165.026	Pukerua Holdings Limited (S165)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Opposes the unplanned greenfield growth policy and rules. Prohibited activity status provides no consenting pathway for proposals in these areas, even if they would have better outcomes for the community and freshwater than intensive rural activities. Notes that the section 32 report appears to state that all contaminants can be mitigated with a combination of treatment and the use of financial contributions (refer paragraph 64 of Part C) and considers that, if this is the case, the prohibited activity status is inappropriate in terms of effects management. Also considers the prohibited activity status is inconsistent with the NPS-UD, in particular Policy 8. Concerned about requiring district and regional plan changes and the significant time and cost associated with this. Concerns about the effects of two plan changes making it difficult to be responsive in providing housing	Amend policy as follows and make any other consequential relief necessary to give effect to this submission point: Policy P.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								and the economic viability of development.	a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
S169.005	KORU HOMES NZ LIMITED (S169)			General comments	General comments - unplanned greenfield development	Oppose		Opposes policy and rules relating to unplanned greenfield growth as the prohibited activity status provides no consenting pathway for proposals that may have positive outcomes. Notes the s32 evaluation states all contaminants can be mitigated through treatment or financial contributions and on this basis the prohibited activity status is inappropriate for effects management. Concerned that activity status is also inconsistent with Policy 8 of the NPS- Decondensed the costs and impact on economic viability associated with requiring two plan changes to enable greenfield development and has concerns on how the market would respond.	Amend policy as follows and make any other consequential relief necessary to give effect to this submission point: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
	KORU HOMES NZ LIMITED	FS16.037	Woodridge Holdings Ltd	General comments	General comments - unplanned greenfield development		Not stated	The use of the prohibited activity rule is a blunt instrument which conflicts with the NPS-UD and in particular Policy 8 and as such could prevent TAs from meeting their ongoing requirements under the NPS-UD. This provision is likely to lead to unintended consequences. Prohibited activity status will affect the ability of territorial authorities to make strategic decisions on growth and create difficulties with minor changes to urban zoning. The prohibited status has not been reasonably justified, and that alternatives that could achieve the strategic intent of the rule without requiring a dual plan change	Allow	Withdraw PC1 or remove prohibited activity status for greenfield development.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>process. The prohibited status removes a consenting pathway for proposals that may have positive outcomes for the community and for freshwater. The s32 evaluation suggest that contaminants can be addressed through a combination of treatment and financial contributions, therefore prohibited activity status inappropriate. The requirement for two plan changes to enable greenfield development on the basis that it will create challenges for the private sector's responsiveness to the housing needs, is onerous and costly, and could jeopardise the economic viability of development and supply of affordable housing. The prohibition laden objective and policy framework (both in NRP and RPS) would render future plan change an impossibility due to not implementing the higher order documents, and any section 32 analysis would be at risk of identifying development as being contrary to objectives and policies in these plans. GWRC should be considering each development individually, based on the merits and the impacts it has on the environment and any mitigation propose.</p>			

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S169.006	KORU HOMES NZ LIMITED (S169)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Opposes policy and rules relating to unplanned greenfield growth as the prohibited activity status provides no consenting pathway for proposals that may have positive outcomes. Notes the s32 evaluation states all contaminants can be mitigated through treatment or financial contributions and on this basis the prohibited activity status is inappropriate for effects management. Concerned that activity status is also inconsistent with Policy 8 of the NPS-UD. Concerned the costs and impact on economic viability associated with requiring two plan changes to enable greenfield development and has concerns on how the market would respond.	Amend policy as follows and make any other consequential relief necessary to give effect to this submission point: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		Accept in part
	KORU HOMES NZ LIMITED	FS16.068	Woodridge Holdings Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Not stated	The use of a prohibited activity rule is a blunt instrument which conflicts with the NPS-UD and in particular Policy 8 and as such could prevent territorial authorities from meeting its ongoing requirements under the NPS-UD. This provision is likely to lead to unintended consequences. Prohibited activity status will affect	Allow	Amend policy so that greenfield developments are not prohibited.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								the ability of territorial authorities to make strategic decisions on growth and create difficulties with minor changes to urban zoning. The prohibited status has not been reasonably justified, and that alternatives that could achieve the strategic intent of the rule without requiring a dual plan change process. The prohibited status removes a consenting pathway for proposals that may have positive outcomes for the community and for freshwater. As the s32 evaluation suggest that contaminants can be addressed through a combination of treatment and financial contributions, prohibited activity status inappropriate. The requirement for two plan changes to enable greenfield development will create challenges for the private sector's responsiveness to the housing needs, is onerous and costly, and could jeopardise the economic viability of development and supply of affordable housing. The prohibition laden objective and policy framework (both in NRP and RPS) would render future plan changes an impossibility as they would likely be identified as being contrary to objectives and policies of the higher order planning framework set up by GW via PC1. GWRC should be considering each development individually, based on the merits and the impacts it has on the environment and any mitigation propose.			
	KORU HOMES NZ LIMITED	FS25.045	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	Request represents good planning practice as the policy proposed to use financial contributions in an inappropriate way	Allow in part	Delete clause (a) from Policy WH.P2 regarding the need for financial contributions for greenfield development	Accept in part
	KORU HOMES NZ LIMITED	FS26.036	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target		Support in part	Request represents good planning practice as the policy proposed to use financial contributions in an inappropriate way	Allow in part	Delete clause (a) from Policy WH.P2 regarding the need for financial contributions for greenfield development	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					attribute states and coastal water objectives.						
	KORU HOMES NZ LIMITED	FS46.058	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited.	Allow	a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and	Accept in part
S169.021	KORU HOMES NZ LIMITED (S169)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Opposes policy and rules relating to unplanned greenfield growth as the prohibited activity status provides no consenting pathway for proposals that may have positive outcomes. Notes the s32 evaluation states all contaminants can be mitigated through treatment or financial contributions and on this basis the prohibited activity status is inappropriate for effects management. Concerned that activity status is also inconsistent with Policy 8 of the NPS-UD. Concerned the costs and impact on economic viability associated with requiring two plan changes to enable greenfield development and has concerns on how the market would respond.	Amend policy as follows and make any other consequential relief necessary to give effect to this submission point: Policy P.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
	KORU HOMES NZ LIMITED	FS26.042	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	Request represents good planning practice as the policy proposed to use financial contributions in an inappropriate way	Allow in part	Delete clause (a) from Policy P.P2 regarding the need for financial contributions for greenfield development	Accept in part
S17.009	John Easterher (S17)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P45: Protecting trout habitat.	Oppose		Considers the protection of introduced species is not relevant to fresh water policy.	Delete policy or amend to make clear this policy applies only to indigenous trout, not to introduced species.		Accept in part
S173.011	ARAKURA PLAINS DEVELOPMENT LIMITED (S173)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Opposes provisions for unplanned greenfield growth. Considers that prohibited activity status does not provide a consenting pathway to consider a proposal that may have positive outcomes for the community or for freshwater. Notes that the s32 report states that all contaminants can be mitigated through treatment and financial contributions, and considers that prohibited activity status is inappropriate in this case. Further considers the prohibited activity status inconsistent with Policy 8 of the NPS-UD. Notes that the s32 report sets out the prohibited activity status to require both a regional and district plan change to enable greenfield development. Considers the need for two plan changes will be expensive and will make it difficult for market responsiveness to the provision of housing.	Amend policy as follows and make any other consequential relief necessary to give effect to this submission point: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
	ARAKURA PLAINS DEVELOPMENT LIMITED	FS46.059	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited.	Allow	Delete clause (a).	Accept in part
S173.026	ARAKURA PLAINS DEVELOPMENT LIMITED (S173)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Opposes provisions for unplanned greenfield growth. Considers that prohibited activity status does not provide a consenting pathway to consider a proposal that may have positive outcomes for the community or for freshwater. Notes that the s32 report states that all contaminants can be mitigated through treatment and financial contributions, and considers that prohibited activity status is inappropriate. Further considers the prohibited activity status inconsistent with Policy 8 of the NPS-UD. Notes that the s32 report sets out the prohibited activity status to require both a regional and district plan change to enable greenfield development. Considers the need for two plan changes will be expensive and will make it difficult for market responsiveness to the provision of housing.	Amend policy as follows and make any other consequential relief necessary to give effect to this submission point: Policy P.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
S177.020	Transpower New Zealand Limited (S177)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Considers policy is inappropriate because definition of “unplanned greenfield development” is broad, uncertain, and could prohibit maintenance, upgrading and development of regionally significant infrastructure. Considers prohibition on unplanned greenfield development is inappropriate and must be removed. If relief sought by submitter on the definition of “unplanned greenfield development” is granted in full, submitter would adopt a neutral position on this aspect of policy. Considers amendment to policy is necessary to ensure it is consistent with effects management hierarchy set out in NPS-FM. Aquatic offsetting is only necessary where residual adverse effects are more than minor, and resource consent applicants should be encouraged to minimise residual adverse effects so they are no more than minor (in which case aquatic offsetting is not required). Considers if aquatic offsetting is required, financial contributions as proposed by PC1 should be available as a discretionary option for achieving offsetting, but not a mandatory requirement. If applicants can provide alternative effective methods of aquatic offsetting as part of proposal in accordance with Appendix 6 of NPS-FM, then financial contributions should not be required.	Amend as follows: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the discharge of stormwater contaminants from greenfield development, and where residual adverse effects from the discharge of stormwater contaminants are more than minor, requiring aquatic offsetting or compensation (which may include financial contributions) as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS23.763	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS46.060	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited. Waste Management agrees with the submitter's reasoning that the provisions could prohibit regionally significant infrastructure, noting Waste Management considers landfills and resource recovery parks to be regionally significant.	Allow	<p>Considers policy is inappropriate because definition of "unplanned greenfield development" is broad, uncertain, and could prohibit maintenance, upgrading and development of regionally significant infrastructure. Considers prohibition on unplanned greenfield development is inappropriate and must be removed. If relief sought by submitter on the definition of "unplanned greenfield development" is granted in full, submitter would adopt a neutral position on this aspect of policy.</p> <p>Relief sought: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the discharge of stormwater contaminants from greenfield development, and where residual adverse effects from the discharge of stormwater contaminants are more than minor, requiring aquatic offsetting or compensation (which may include financial contributions) as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and.</p>	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS31.019	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	WIAL shares the concerns of the submitter that the definition of "unplanned greenfield development" is broad, uncertain, and could prohibit maintenance, upgrading and development of regionally significant infrastructure. Considers prohibition on unplanned greenfield development is inappropriate and must be removed.	Allow in part	Amend the policy as follows: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the discharge of stormwater contaminants from greenfield development, and where residual adverse effects from the discharge of stormwater contaminants are more than minor, requiring aquatic offsetting or compensation (which may include financial contributions) as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) ...	Accept in part
S177.046	Transpower New Zealand Limited (S177)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Considers policy is inappropriate because definition of "unplanned greenfield development" is broad, uncertain, and could prohibit maintenance, upgrading and development of regionally significant infrastructure (including the National Grid) and considers that the prohibition on unplanned greenfield development is inappropriate and must be removed. If relief sought by submitter on the definition of "unplanned greenfield development" is granted in full, submitter would adopt a neutral position on this aspect of policy. Considers amendment to policy is necessary to ensure it is consistent with effects management hierarchy set out in NPS-FM. Aquatic offsetting is only necessary where residual adverse effects are more than minor, and resource consent applicants should be encouraged to minimise residual adverse effects so they are no more than minor (in which case aquatic offsetting is not required). Further, if aquatic	Amend policy as follows: Policy P.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives. Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by:(a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the discharge of stormwater contaminants from greenfield development, and where residual adverse effects from the discharge of stormwater contaminants are more than minor, requiring aquatic offsetting or compensation (which may include financial contributions) as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and(b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and(c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								offsetting is required, financial contributions as proposed by PC1 should be available as a discretionary option for achieving offsetting, but not a mandatory requirement. If applicants can provide alternative effective methods of aquatic offsetting as part of proposal in accordance with Appendix 6 of NPS-FM, then financial contributions should not be required.	discharges to rivers, and(d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and(f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and(g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, andrequiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS23.789	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S18.012	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			4 Policies	Policy P36: Restoring Wairarapa Moana	Oppose		Notes the significance of adhering to legislative principles to ensure changes are effective, clear and fair, and that language should be used that allows adaptability to changing circumstances. Considers the use of "restore" or "avoid" inappropriate, as they do not allow adaptability to changing circumstances.	Amend to change the word restore for the aim of restoring the ecological health and significant values of Wairarapa Moana.		Accept in part
S18.022	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Seeks clarification on the purpose of target attribute states which regulate forestry activities. Considers that forestry activities are disproportionately restricted compared to pastoral activities, citing studies. Questions restrictions on tree planting near water bodies, noting that research indicates trees to have positive impacts on water quality. Further questions restrictions on non-take use of rainfall by commercial forestry compared to pasture, citing a local study. Questions restrictions on reforestation in light of the rarity	Exclude forestry activities.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								of landslides and debris flow in commercial forest settings in the Wellington region. Cites studies which suggest that forests exhibit significant rainfall retention compared to pasture, acting as water storage during winter and releasing rainfall as low flows in drier months. Seeks greater consistency and scientific evidence for proposed rules on forestry activities near water bodies. Notes that the s32 report states that the NES-CF has not been taken into consideration. Suggests a review of proposed legislative changes, to consider existing NES-CF regulations, research findings, and the impending National Framework. Considers aligning policies with these standards will develop consistently aligned and sustainable policies for forestry activities in the region.			
	PF Olsen Ltd	FS25.058	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Request is consistent with GTC submission that seeks the NRP to rely on the requirements of the NES-CF to address water quality affects associated with commercial forestry which are considered appropriate; more rigorous requirements in the NRP are not considered necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Allow	Amend Policy WH.P2 to exclude forestry activities from management to achieve target attribute states	Accept in part
S18.024	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Amend		Seeks amendment of the provision based on the submitter's own submission on Table 8.4.	Amend to incorporate a new Table in accordance with feedback provided on Table 8.4		Reject
S18.025	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.	Amend		Considers the setting of the proposed target attribute states has not been consistent with 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM. Considers there is a lack of due consideration given to the environmental outcomes, target attribute states of receiving environments, and connections between water bodies, as required by the clause. Questions the effectiveness of the proposed target attribute states and considers that	Revise target attribute states in accordance with Clause 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								they do not reflect an adequate understanding of environmental outcomes. Questions the use of freshwater accounting systems to inform the setting of target attribute states and emphasises the importance of accurate and up-to-date information.			
S18.046	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Seeks clarification on the purpose of target attribute states which regulate forestry activities. Considers that forestry activities are disproportionately restricted compared to pastoral activities, citing studies. Questions restrictions on tree planting near water bodies, noting that research indicates trees to have positive impacts on water quality. Further questions restrictions on non-take use of rainfall by commercial forestry compared to pasture, citing a local study. Questions restrictions on reforestation in light of the rarity of landslides and debris flow in commercial forest settings in the Wellington region. Cites studies which suggest that forests exhibit significant rainfall retention compared to pasture, acting as water storage during winter and releasing rainfall as low flows in drier months. Seeks greater consistency and scientific evidence for proposed rules on forestry activities near water bodies. Notes that the s32 report states that the NES-CF has not been taken into consideration. Suggests a review of proposed legislative changes, to consider existing NES-CF regulations, research findings, and the impending National Framework. Considers aligning policies with these standards will develop consistently aligned and sustainable policies for forestry activities in the region.	Exclude forestry activities.		Accept in part
S18.048	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.	Amend		Seeks amendment of the provision based on the submitter's own submission on Table 9.1 and Table 9.3.	Amend to incorporate a new Table of contaminants load reduction.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S18.049	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.	Support		Considers the setting of the proposed target attribute states has not been consistent with 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM. Considers there is a lack of due consideration given to the environmental outcomes, target attribute states of receiving environments, and connections between water bodies, as required by the clause. Questions the effectiveness of the proposed target attribute states and considers that they do not reflect an adequate understanding of environmental outcomes. Questions the use of freshwater accounting systems to inform the setting of target attribute states and emphasises the importance of accurate and up-to-date information.	Revise target attribute states in accordance with Clause 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM.		Reject
S18.050	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.	Amend		Considers that the setting of the proposed target attribute states has not been consistent with 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM. Considers there is a lack of due consideration given to the environmental outcomes, target attribute states of receiving environments, and connections between water bodies, as required by the clause. Questions the effectiveness of the proposed target attribute states and considers that they do not reflect an adequate understanding of environmental outcomes. Questions the use of freshwater accounting systems to inform the setting of target attribute states and emphasises the importance of accurate and up-to-date information.	Revise target attribute states in accordance with Clause 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM.		Reject
S183.078	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			4 Policies	Policy P65: National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management requirements for discharge consents.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.078	Manor Park and Haywards Residents	4 Policies	Policy P65: National Policy		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded,	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
			Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)		Statement for Freshwater Management requirements for discharge consents.			<p>especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this</p>			

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.085	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			4 Policies	Policy P77: Improving water quality for contact recreation and Māori customary use.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.085	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	4 Policies	Policy P77: Improving water quality for contact recreation and Māori customary use.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.096	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.096	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								'planned / existing urban area"'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.097	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			4 Policies	Policy P36: Restoring Wairarapa Moana	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.097	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	4 Policies	Policy P36: Restoring Wairarapa Moana		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.098	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			4 Policies	Policy P45: Protecting trout habitat.	Oppose		Trout are an invasive introduced species.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.098	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	4 Policies	Policy P45: Protecting trout habitat.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.190	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.190	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
S183.191	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					states and coastal water objectives.						
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.191	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.193	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.193	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.194	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.194	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.274	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.274	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.275	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.275	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					coastal water objectives.			surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.277	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-	Policy P.P4: Contaminant	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
				Porirua Whaitua	load reductions.						
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.277	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.278	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.278	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.279	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.279	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.032	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			4 Policies	Policy P65: National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management requirements for discharge consents.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.455	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	4 Policies	Policy P65: National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management requirements for discharge consents.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.043	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.466	Manor Park and Haywards	4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity,		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
			Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)		aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.			degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this			

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.044	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			4 Policies	Policy P36: Restoring Wairarapa Moana	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.467	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	4 Policies	Policy P36: Restoring Wairarapa Moana		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.102	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.525	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.103	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.526	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.105	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.528	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.106	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.529	Manor Park and Haywards Residents	8 Whaitua Te	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded,	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
			Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	Whanganui-a-Tara	required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.			<p>especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this</p>			

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.020	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.020	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.025	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1176	Forest & Bird	4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1109	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.021	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			4 Policies	Policy P45: Protecting trout habitat.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.021	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	4 Policies	Policy P45: Protecting trout habitat.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.026	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	4 Policies	Policy P45: Protecting trout habitat.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1177	Forest & Bird	4 Policies	Policy P45: Protecting trout habitat.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM,	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).			
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1110	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	4 Policies	Policy P45: Protecting trout habitat.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.039	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.039	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.044	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1195	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1128	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.040	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.040	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game	FS21.045	Manor Park Golf Club	8 Whaitua Te	Policy WH.P2 Management		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Regional Council		(Incorporated) (MPGC)	Whanganui-a-Tara	of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.			has established and is looking to maintain.			
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1196	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1129	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.042	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.042	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.047	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1198	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1131	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
S188.073	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					ecosystem health.						
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.073	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.078	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1229	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1162	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.074	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.074	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.079	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game	FS23.1230	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Regional Council			Porirua Whaitua	achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.			waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1163	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.076	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.076	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.081	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1232	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1165	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S193.035	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			4 Policies	Policy P77: Improving water quality for contact recreation and Māori customary use.	Not Stated		Considers Policy P77 re priorities is relevant for all whatua.	Retain for all whatua Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.991	Forest & Bird	4 Policies	Policy P77: Improving water quality for contact recreation and Māori customary use.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS47.139	Meridian Energy Limited	4 Policies	Policy P77: Improving water quality for contact recreation and Māori customary use.		Support	Meridian agrees Policy P77 remains relevant for all Whaitua;	Allow	Allow S193.035.	Reject
S193.067	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		For consistency with WIP recommendations for a more strategic and prioritised approach	<p>Add new clause aa) directing improved understanding of key contaminant sources, their connection to waterways and spatial/temporal patterns, and identification of a prioritised programme</p> <p>Amend a) to add 'progressively reducing in priority catchments/locations'.</p> <p>Amend b) to read 'progressively restoring habitats in priority locations'.</p> <p>Add new clause e) to provide for Council to enter into voluntary buy-out of sites/land where significant changes in land use activities may be required</p> <p>Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.</p>		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1023	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS28.063	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Prioritisation will be necessary given the scale of works required.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S193.068	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and	Amend		Consistent with WIP recommendations to provide incentives to assist implementation of existing national and regional regulations; and consistent with NRP Method M12	<p>Amend e) to read promote and support riparian fencing and planting (delete proposed text);</p> <p>Amend f) to read promote and support erosion and sediment control (delete</p>		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					coastal water objectives.				proposed text); Delete g) and h) Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1024	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS47.162	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	Meridian opposes any requirement to re-vegetate with woody vegetation any land within its West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms because this may conflict with or become an obstacle to the continued operation, maintenance and upgrading of its generation activities, contrary to the objective and policies of the NPS-REG;	Allow in part	Allow S193.068 in part by deleting the reference to re-vegetation with woody vegetation or amend the reference to clarify that it does not apply to land used for renewable electricity generation or only 'where practicable'.	Accept in part
S193.070	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Amend		Considers there is insufficient evidence to support the proposed reductions	Amend to delete a) and b) Add clause directing sediment source studies to establish fit for purpose information on relative sources and spatial-temporal patterns including consideration of natural factors impacting clarity (e.g. Mangaroa/peat, Pāuatahanui/soft-bottom substrate) and to help identify and prioritise catchments/actions. Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1026	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S193.071	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.	Oppose		Certain of the national bottom lines are aspirational, including for Makara and Mangaroa; and baseline sediment loads are uncertain	Delete Table 8.5 Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1027	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.119	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		For consistency with WIP recommendations for a more strategic and prioritised approach	Add new clause aa) directing improved understanding of key contaminant sources, their connection to waterways and spatial/temporal patterns, and identification of a prioritised programme Amend a) to add 'progressively reducing in priority catchments/locations'. Amend b) to read 'progressively restoring habitats in priority locations'. Add new clause e) to provide for Council to enter into voluntary buy-out of sites/land where significant changes in land use activities may be required Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1075	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS28.064	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Prioritisation will be necessary given the scale of works required.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S193.120	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Consistent with WIP recommendations to provide incentives to assist implementation of existing national and regional regulations; and consistent with NRP Method M12	Amend e) to read promote and support riparian fencing and planting (delete proposed text); Amend f) to read promote and support erosion and sediment control (delete proposed text); Delete g) and h) Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1076	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS47.312	Meridian Energy Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	Meridian opposes any requirement to re-vegetate with woody vegetation any land within its Mill Creek wind farm because this may conflict with or become an obstacle to the continued operation, maintenance and upgrading of its generation activities, contrary to the objective and policies of the NPS- REG;	Allow in part	Allow S193.120 in part by deleting the reference to re-vegetation with woody vegetation or amend the reference to clarify that it does not apply to land used for renewable electricity generation or only 'where practicable'.	Accept in part
S193.122	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.	Amend		Considers there is insufficient evidence to support the proposed reductions	Amend to delete a) and b) Add clause directing sediment source studies to establish fit for purpose information on relative sources and spatial-temporal patterns including consideration of natural factors impacting clarity (eg, Mangaroa/peat, Pāuatahanui/soft-bottom substrate) and to help identify and prioritise catchments/actions Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1078	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.123	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.	Amend		Considers the supporting evidence is too uncertain	Delete Table 9.3 Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1079	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.124	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.	Amend		Considers evidence is insufficient	Delete Table 9.4 Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1080	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S195.035	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA) (S195)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target	Oppose		Object to policies WH.P2, P.P2, WH.P28 and policy P.P26 as far as they relate to forestry.	Not stated		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					attribute states and coastal water objectives.						
	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS23.438	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS50.102	New Zealand Carbon Farming Group ('NZCF')	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	NZCF supports the submission and particularly notes that the Policy fails to consider the role the NESPF (and NESCF) play in appropriately managing activities (as a higher order planning instrument).	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S195.036	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA) (S195)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Object to policies WH.P2, P.P2, WH.P28 and policy P.P26 as far as they relate to forestry.	Not stated		Accept in part
	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS23.439	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS50.103	New Zealand Carbon Farming Group ('NZCF')	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	NZCF supports the submission and particularly notes that the Policy fails to consider the role the NESPF (and NESCF) play in appropriately managing activities (as a higher order planning instrument).	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S2.018	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd (S2)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Clause (a) requires a progressive reduction in the load and concentration of contaminants. The submitter understands that this is aligned with the required reductions	Amend Policy WH.P1 as follows: Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health Aquatic ecosystem health will be improved by: (a) progressively reducing the load or		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								to achieve improvements in water quality as required by Objective WH.09. As drafted, the clause implies that this would apply to all water bodies, regardless of whether improvement is required or not. Changes are sought by the submitter to clarify this. Considers clause (b) would be applied broadly to all habitats, including exotic. States there is no requirement under the NPS-FM to restore all habitats, rather it is limited to indigenous wetland habitat, and restoration should only be required where that habitat has been degraded. The submitter seeks changes to clarify that restoration is limited to indigenous habitats and to caveat only to where those habitats have been degraded. Considers clause (d) is unclear about what is being coordinated and prioritised. It is also unclear what “catchments that require changes to land use activities that impact water” means, who decides this, or what those activities are. Suggests this clause should rather refer to enabling work programmes that provide for improvement. The submitter also notes that clause (d) is a method rather than a policy directive. Suggests that consideration is given to whether this would be better suited as a method rather than a policy directive.	concentration of contaminants where improvement in water quality is required, particularly sediment, nutrients, pathogens and metals, entering water, and (b) restoring indigenous habitats that have been degraded, and (c) enhancing the natural flow regime of rivers and managing water flows and levels, including where there is interaction of flows between surface water and groundwater, and (d) co-ordinating and prioritising enabling work programmes in catchments that seek to improve aquatic ecosystem health require changes to land use activities that impact on water.		
	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	FS10.29	Enviro NZ Services Ltd (Enviro NZ)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	As per submission point	Allow	All	Accept in part
S2.019	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd (S2)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Seeks amendments to clause (a) of this policy as described below. Considers this policy to be inappropriate because the definition of “unplanned greenfield development” is broad and uncertain. On this basis, the submitter considers the prohibition on unplanned greenfield development inappropriate. It is understood by the submitter that	Amend Policy WH.P2 as follows: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives: Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>GWRC are focused primarily on unplanned urban development. The submitter seeks changes to this clause to clarify this. Considers this clause also currently prescribes the activity status of an activity, rather than being focused on an adverse effect. Considers financial contributions to offset residual adverse effects from stormwater contaminants is inconsistent with the NPS-FM and limits the ability to implement the effects management hierarchy. Suggests aquatic offsetting or aquatic compensation is required where there are more than minor residual adverse effects, rather than residual adverse effects generally. The submitter expects there will be some residual adverse effect, which is appropriate, provided that the effect is no more than minor. Considers this clause implies that financial contributions are the only form of offset that may be provided. Suggest that as Appendix 6 of the NPS-FM sets out principles that are to be applied when identifying an appropriate aquatic offset, it would be contrary to the NPS-FM to not allow for consideration against those principles. Considers that whilst the clause implies that only offsetting may be applied, the effects management hierarchy provides for aquatic compensation where aquatic offsetting is not able to be provided. The submitter accepts that a financial contribution may be an appropriate form of aquatic offset, but seeks that the policy does not frustrate the ability for other forms of aquatic offsetting or aquatic compensation to be undertaken. Supports the direction of Clause (e), but notes that the planting of riparian margins may not always be practicable. Changes are sought to recognise this.</p>	<p>development and for other greenfield developments minimising the discharge of stormwater contaminants generated by urban development, and where there are more than minor residual adverse effects caused by stormwater contaminants requiring aquatic offsetting in first instance, which may include a requiring financial contributions as to an aquatic offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation where practicable, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.</p>		
	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	FS10.32	Enviro NZ Services Ltd (Enviro NZ)	8 Whaitua Te	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to		Support	As per submission point	Allow	All	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
				Whanganui-a-Tara	achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.						
S204.002	Willowbank Trustee Limited (S204)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Land and soil qualities restrict ability to establish woody vegetation	Amend Policy P.P2 (g) to either delete “with woody vegetation” or revise to include: “with woody vegetation where practicable to do so”.		Accept in part
	Willowbank Trustee Limited	FS47.313	Meridian Energy Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	Meridian notes that land and soil qualities are not the only restriction on the practicability of establishing woody vegetation. Meridian opposes any requirement to re-vegetate with woody vegetation any land within its Mill Creek wind farm because this may conflict with or become an obstacle to the continued operation, maintenance and upgrading of its generation activities, contrary to the objective and policies of the NPS-REG;	Allow in part	Allow S204.002 in part by deleting the reference to re-vegetation with woody vegetation or amend the reference to clarify that it does not apply to land used for renewable electricity generation or only ‘where practicable’.	Accept in part
S206.022	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Concerned that several provisions are subject to the Freshwater Planning Process (FPP) where freshwater is only a peripheral issue to which the provision relates. Considers this an inappropriate use of the FPP, giving rise to jurisdictional problems such as restricted appeal rights. Considers improper allocation results in delays and costs, and is exacerbated by the restrictive activity statuses proposed.	Review the scope of FPP versus Schedule 1 processes. Only provisions where freshwater is the primary issue to be subject to the FPP; remaining provisions allocated to Schedule 1.		Reject
	Winstone Aggregates	FS25.018	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	General comments	General comments - fresh water		Support	Request represents good planning practice and has legal merit	Allow	Review PC1 - Only provisions where freshwater is the primary issue to be subject to FPP - remaining provisions allocated to Schedule 1 process	Reject
S206.035	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic	Amend		Considers (a) requires progressive reduction in the load and concentration of contaminants for all water bodies, regardless of	Amend policy as follows:Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health Aquatic ecosystem health will be improved by:(a)		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					ecosystem health.			whether improvement is required or not. Seeks clarification accordingly. Considers (b) would apply to all habitats, including exotic. Notes the NPS-FM does not require restoration of all habitats, but is rather limited to indigenous wetland habitat, where the habitat is degraded. Seeks clarification accordingly. Considers it unclear what is being coordinated and prioritised in (d), and what “catchments that require changes to land use activities that impact water” means. Considers the clause should refer to enabling work programmes that provide for improvement. Suggests consideration as to whether clause is better suited as a method rather than a policy directive.	progressively reducing the load or concentration of contaminants where improvement in water quality is required, particularly sediment, nutrients, pathogens and metals, entering water, and(b) restoring indigenous habitats that have been degraded, and(c) enhancing the natural flow regime of rivers and managing water flows and levels, including where there is interaction of flows between surface water and groundwater, and(d) co-ordinating and prioritising enabling work programmes in catchments that seek to improve aquatic ecosystem health require changes to land use activities that impact on water.		
S206.036	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Considers clause (a) prescribes the activity status of an activity, rather than focusing on an adverse effect. Notes “unplanned greenfield development” may be applied generally, given “greenfield development” is not defined, meaning that development within an area mapped as “unplanned” would be subject to this direction. Considers financial contribution provisions inconsistent with the NPS-FM, and limits the ability to implement the effects management hierarchy. Notes that aquatic offsetting or compensation is required by the NPS-FM where there are more than minor residual adverse effects, rather than residual adverse effects generally. Considers a contribution mechanism to address minor/residual effects unlikely to be effective or efficient, and concerned that financial contributions are the only form of offset that may be provided. Considers it contrary to the NPS-FM to not allow consideration for the principles set out in Appendix 6 of the NPS-FM. Notes the provisions limit the management of residual adverse effects to aquatic offsetting only, whereas the effects	Amend policy as follows:Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by:(a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants generated by urban development, and where there are more than minor residual adverse effects caused by stormwater contaminants requiring aquatic offsetting in first instance, which may include a requiring financial contributions as to an aquatic offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and(b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and(c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers(d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and(e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								management hierarchy provides for aquatic compensation where aquatic offsetting is not able to be provided. Acknowledges financial contributions may be an appropriate form of aquatic offset, however seeks the policy does not frustrate the ability for other forms of aquatic offsetting or aquatic compensation. Supports the direction of clause (e), however notes the planting of riparian margins may not always be practicable.	planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation where practicable, and(f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and(g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and(h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
	Winstone Aggregates	FS46.061	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited. Waste Management agrees with the submitters reasoning that the focus should be on adverse effects of an activity rather than the activity itself.	Allow	Considers clause (a) prescribes the activity status of an activity, rather than focusing on an adverse effect. Notes "unplanned greenfield development" may be applied generally, given "greenfield development" is not defined. Relief sought: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants generated by urban development, and where there are more than minor residual adverse effects caused by stormwater contaminants requiring aquatic offsetting in first instance, which may include a requiring financial contributions as to an aquatic offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and.	Accept in part
S206.063	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Considers (a) requires progressive reduction in the load and concentration of contaminants for all water bodies, regardless of whether improvement is required or not. Seeks clarification accordingly. Considers (b) would apply to all habitats, including exotic. Notes the NPS-FM does not require restoration of all habitats, but is rather limited to indigenous wetland habitat, where the habitat is degraded. Seeks clarification accordingly. Considers it unclear what is being coordinated and prioritised in (d), and what "catchments that require changes to land use activities that impact water" means. Considers	Amend policy as follows:Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health Aquatic ecosystem health will be improved by:(a) progressively reducing the load or concentration of contaminants where improvement in water quality is required, particularly sediment, nutrients, pathogens and metals, entering water, and(b) restoring indigenous habitats that have been degraded, and(c) enhancing the natural flow regime of rivers and managing water flows and levels, including where there is interaction of flows between surface water and groundwater, and(d) co-ordinating and prioritising enabling work programmes in catchments that		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								the clause should refer to enabling work programmes that provide for improvement. Suggests consideration as to whether clause is better suited as a method rather than a policy directive.	seek to improve aquatic ecosystem health require changes to land use activities that impact on water.		
S206.064	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		<p>Considers clause (a) prescribes the activity status of an activity, rather than focusing on an adverse effect. Notes “unplanned greenfield development” may be applied generally, given “greenfield development” is not defined, meaning that development within an area mapped as “unplanned” would be subject to this direction. Considers financial contribution provisions inconsistent with the NPS-FM, and limits the ability to implement the effects management hierarchy. Notes that aquatic offsetting or compensation is required by the NPS-FM where there are more than minor residual adverse effects, rather than residual adverse effects generally. Considers a contribution mechanism to address minor/residual effects unlikely to be effective or efficient, and concerned that financial contributions are the only form of offset that may be provided. Considers it contrary to the NPS-FM to not allow consideration for the principles set out in Appendix 6 of the NPS-FM. Notes the provisions limit the management of residual adverse effects to aquatic offsetting only, whereas the effects management hierarchy provides for aquatic compensation where aquatic offsetting is not able to be provided. Acknowledges financial contributions may be an appropriate form of aquatic offset, however seeks the policy does not frustrate the ability for other forms of aquatic offsetting or aquatic compensation. Supports the direction of clause (e), however notes the planting of riparian margins may not always be practicable.</p>	Amend policy as follows:Policy P.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by:(a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants generated by urban development, and where there are more than minor residual adverse effects caused by stormwater contaminants requiring aquatic offsetting in first instance, which may include a requiring financial contributions as to an aquatic offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and(b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and(c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers(d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and(e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation where practicable, and(f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and(g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and(h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S207.008	Firth Industries Limited (S207)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Seek amendments to clause (a). Clause (a) prescribes the activity status rather than addressing adverse effects which is inappropriate for a policy. Reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development should be removed, in favour of focussing on minimising effects. Amendment to the policy is necessary to ensure that it is consistent with the effects management hierarchy set out in the NPS-FM. Aquatic offsetting is only necessary where effects are more than minor, and resource consent applicants should be encouraged to minimise residual adverse effects so that they are no more than minor (in which case aquatic offsetting is not required). If aquatic offsetting or compensation is required, financial contributions as proposed by PC1 should be available as a discretionary option for achieving offsetting, not a mandatory requirement. If an alternative effective method of aquatic offsetting or compensation as part of their proposal in accordance with Appendix 6 of the NPS-FM can be provided, financial contributions (on top of this) should not be required.	Amend policy WH.P2 as follows: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the discharge of stormwater contaminants from greenfield development, and where residual adverse effects from the discharge of stormwater contaminants are more than minor, requiring aquatic offsetting or aquatic compensation (which may include financial contributions) as an aquatic offset to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Firth Industries Limited	FS46.062	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited. Waste Management agrees with the reasoning of the submitter insofar as it is inappropriate for a policy to prescribe an activity status.	Allow	Clause (a) prescribes the activity status rather than addressing adverse effects which is inappropriate for a policy. Relief sought: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants generated by urban development, and where there are more than minor residual adverse effects caused by stormwater contaminants requiring aquatic offsetting in first instance, which may include a requiring financial contributions as to an aquatic offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and.	Accept in part
	Firth Industries Limited	FS10.31	Enviro NZ Services Ltd (Enviro NZ)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	As per submission point	Allow	All	Accept in part
S207.020	Firth Industries Limited (S207)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Clause (a) prescribes the activity status rather than addressing adverse effects which is inappropriate for a policy. Reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development should be removed, in favour of focussing on minimising effects. Amendment to the policy is necessary to ensure that it is consistent with the effects management hierarchy set out in the NPS-FM. Aquatic offsetting is only necessary where effects are more than minor, and resource consent applicants should be encouraged to minimise residual adverse effects so that they are no more than minor (in which case aquatic offsetting is not required). If aquatic offsetting or compensation is required, financial contributions as proposed by PC1 should be available as a discretionary option for achieving offsetting, not a mandatory requirement. If an alternative effective method of	Amend policy as follows: Policy P.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the discharge of stormwater contaminants from greenfield development, and where residual adverse effects from the discharge of stormwater contaminants are more than minor, requiring aquatic offsetting or aquatic compensation (which may include financial contributions) as an aquatic offset to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								aquatic offsetting or compensation as part of their proposal in accordance with Appendix 6 of the NPS-FM can be provided, financial contributions (on top of this) should not be required.	(b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
S210.017	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. (S210)			4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.	Support		Supports intent of Policy P30	Retain P30 as notified		Accept
S210.024	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. (S210)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Oppose		Opposes Policy WH.P1 as it does not accurately reflect intent of the objectives being to maintain the aquatic ecosystem health where TAS are met, and improving them where TAS is not currently met. Considers the objectives provide more flexibility than the 'improve' approach in the policy.	Seeks the following amendments to Policy WH.P1 to better reflect and implement the objectives (or similar wording): "Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health Aquatic ecosystem health will be maintained or improved where relevant target attribute state is not met by: (a) progressively reducing the load or concentration of contaminants, particularly sediment, nutrients, pathogens and metals, entering water where relevant target attribute state is not met, and		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation	
									(b) maintaining or restoring habitats where relevant target attribute state is not met, and (c) maintaining or enhancing the natural flow regime of rivers and managing water flows and levels ,strong>where relevant target attribute state is not met, including where there is interaction of flows between surface water and groundwater, and (d) co-ordinating and prioritising work programmes in catchments that require changes to land use activities that impact on water."			
S210.025	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. (S210)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Considers objectives do not require such a restrictive approach and do not consider the dual process for unplanned greenfield development is warranted as there is no dual plan change process in the RMA.	Amend Clause (a) to read (or similar wording):“Encourage prohibiting unplanned and other greenfield developments minimising the to minimise contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and”;Additional thought be given to clearly identifying level of acceptable targets for these matters that are not cover by the TASs, as identified above in relation to Objective WH.O2Either delete or amend Clause (f) to read: requiring the active management adopting best practice principles and management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation and vegetation clearance activities; and Either delete or amend Clause (g) to read: “adopting best practice principles and management of soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation of land with high erosion risk,”.		Accept in part	
	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	FS22.012	Cannon Point Development Limited (Ltd.)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	The proposed change to Clause (a) reflects the relief sought in Cannon Point Development Ltd.’s submission on this provision.	Allow		Support the request to amend clause (a) to encourage unplanned and other greenfield development to minimise contaminants, rather than prohibiting unplanned greenfield development.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	FS47.163	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	Meridian opposes any requirement to re-vegetate with woody vegetation any land within its West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms because this may conflict with or become an obstacle to the continued operation, maintenance and upgrading of its generation activities, contrary to the objective and policies of the NPS-REG;	Allow in part	Allow S210.025 in part by deleting the reference to re-vegetation with woody vegetation or amend the reference to clarify that it does not apply to land used for renewable electricity generation or only 'where practicable'.	Accept in part
S210.026	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. (S210)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Support		Supports proposed approach to achieving visual clarity targets, relative to the site at the Hutt River at Boulcott only.	Retain proposed visual clarity target at Boulcott		Accept in part
S211.010	Hutt City Council (S211)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Concerned with the proposed prohibited activity status for unplanned greenfield development; considers that this precludes consenting pathways for development in unplanned greenfield areas which may have positive outcomes. Concerned that minor activities which extend into unplanned greenfield areas would be prohibited. Considers the s32 evaluation insufficient to justify the proposed prohibited activity status, noting contradictions with regard to the ability of PC1 to mitigate contaminants from urban developments. Further considers that the prohibition on greenfield development is inconsistent with the NPS-UD, particularly Policy 8, and may conflict with the submitter's ability to give effect to the NPS-UD. Notes commentary provided in the s32 report which states that unplanned greenfield development is to be prohibited to enable a future regional plan change alongside a district plan change. Considers that there will be a high economic cost to undertake two simultaneous plan changes, which is not sufficiently assessed in the s32 report. Seeks that this policy	Amend Policy WH.P2 as follows: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting avoiding unplanned greenfield development and for managing other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								direction is amended to “avoid”, with a non-complying activity status. Considers that Policy WH.P2(b) is not consistent with and duplicates (c) and (d), noting that the use of “encouraging” in (b) is inconsistent with “imposing” in (c) and “requiring” in (d).	clearance activities, and(g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and(h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater		
	Hutt City Council	FS16.069	Woodridge Holdings Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Not stated	The use of a prohibited activity rule is a blunt instrument which conflicts with the NPS-UD and in particular Policy 8 and as such could prevent territorial authorities from meeting its ongoing requirements under the NPS-UD. This provision is likely to lead to unintended consequences. Prohibited activity status will affect the ability of territorial authorities to make strategic decisions on growth and create difficulties with minor changes to urban zoning. The prohibited status has not been reasonably justified, and that alternatives that could achieve the strategic intent of the rule without requiring a dual plan change process. The prohibited status removes a consenting pathway for proposals that may have positive outcomes for the community and for freshwater. As the s32 evaluation suggest that contaminants can be addressed through a combination of treatment and financial contributions, prohibited activity status inappropriate. The requirement for two plan changes to enable greenfield development will create challenges for the private sector's responsiveness to the housing needs, is onerous and costly, and could jeopardise the economic viability of development and supply of affordable housing. The prohibition laden objective and policy framework (both in NRP and RPS) would render future plan changes an impossibility as they would likely be identified as being contrary to objectives and policies of the higher order planning framework set up by GW via PC1. GWRC should be considering each	Allow	Amend policy so that greenfield developments are not prohibited.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								development individually, based on the merits and the impacts it has on the environment and any mitigation propose.			
	Hutt City Council	FS23.356	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose all submission points seeking to amend proposed 2040 E.coli target timeframe to 2060.	Reject
	Hutt City Council	FS46.063	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited. Waste Management seeks for unplanned greenfield development to be restricted as per its submission. Waste Management agrees with the submitter's comments and shares concerns about the preclusion of consenting pathways.	Allow in part	Concerned with the proposed prohibited activity status for unplanned greenfield development; considers that this precludes consenting pathways for development in unplanned greenfield areas which may have positive outcomes. Concerned that minor activities which extend into unplanned greenfield areas would be prohibited. Relief sought: (a) prohibiting avoiding unplanned greenfield development and for managing other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and.	Accept in part
	Hutt City Council	FS48.007	Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	Share the concerns of the Council but consider that “prohibiting” should be replaced with “managing” (rather than “avoiding”) as requested by Upper Hutt City Council. The prohibited activity status is inconsistent with the NPS-UD.	Allow in part	Concerned with the proposed prohibited activity status for unplanned greenfield development; considers that this precludes consenting pathways for development in unplanned greenfield areas which may have positive outcomes. Concerned that minor activities which extend into unplanned greenfield areas would be prohibited. Seeks amendments to the policy as follows: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
										Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting avoiding unplanned greenfield development and for managing other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and(b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers ...	
	Hutt City Council	FS39.095	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of Hutt City Council's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S213.015	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S213.016	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Reject
S213.018	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Support		Notes lowering the Te Awakairangi lower mainstream load of 100kt/year by 24% requires action across all tributary catchments including our part-FMU.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S216.005	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira (Te Rūnanga) (S216)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute	Amend		Considers the intention of Policy WH.P2(a), Policy WH P.P15 and associated provisions is to restrict urban development that is ad hoc and uncoordinated to minimise	Clarify the provisions relating to 'unplanned greenfield development' and the type of activities that would be captured by this rule and the appropriate rule category.Submits		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					states and coastal water objectives.			<p>water quality impacts, lack of stormwater infrastructure and other environmental effects. Supports a dedicated planning approach to development in the Wellington Region. Considers urban sprawl should be avoided when it results in poor environmental outcomes. Considers there a need to clarify the provisions relating to 'unplanned greenfield development' and the type of activities captured by this rule and the appropriate rule category. Notes that Under the Ngāti Toa Rangatira Deed of Settlement Act 2014, land has been returned or acquired by Ngāti Toa Rangatira under the Right of First Refusal or other processes. These lands may involve historical legacy zones or activities which have been inherited from previous owners or land uses, such as former education and corrections facilities. Considers while new development will aim to achieve high standards of wastewater and stormwater disposal in terms of Water Sensitive Urban Design systems, there could be unanticipated challenges relating to existing (historical) infrastructure, buildings and related additions or alterations that may trigger the unplanned greenfield development rule. Considers similar issues may exist for areas where resource consents have been granted for activities in unplanned greenfield development areas but the zoning has yet to reflect existing activity. For example, upgrades to facilities in rural areas that could trigger the unplanned greenfield development rule. Notes this upgrades may not justify the expense and time of a private plan change process. Considers a strong alignment between the provisions of the district plans and NRP is needed when signalling land that may potentially become part of future urban development areas. For example, the NPR maps 86-89 may</p>	<p>that the plan change should be amended to provide a more balanced and nuanced approach with regard to managing the tension between restricting urban sprawl and provision for practical flexibility for development in non-urban areas. Amend WH.P2(a) to state:Restricting prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants.</p>		

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								become 'out of date' due to district plan reviews. Considers this may require a two plan change process (an update to the relevant maps of the NRP and the district plan zoning).			
	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira (Te Rūnanga)	FS2.003	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Support the intent for clarity. Supports a dedicated planning approach to development in the Wellington Region. Supports that urban sprawl should be avoided when it results in poor environmental outcomes. Supports that there a need to clarify the provisions relating to 'unplanned greenfield development' and the type of activities captured by this rule and the appropriate rule category.	Allow	Clarify the provisions relating to 'unplanned greenfield development' and the type of activities that would be captured by this rule and the appropriate rule category. Submits that the plan change should be amended to provide a more balanced and nuanced approach with regard to managing the tension between restricting urban sprawl and provision for practical flexibility for development in nonurban areas. Amend WH.P2(a) to state: Restricting prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants.	Accept in part
	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira (Te Rūnanga)	FS21.103	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Requests wording change from 'prohibiting' to 'restricting' unplanned greenfield development. Maintaining 'prohibited' activity means, A prohibited activity may not be carried out. In addition, no resource consent can be sought or granted to authorise the activity. Parties wishing to carry out a prohibited activity must apply for a change to the plan to reclassify the activity Shifting to a "restricted" activity means A restricted discretionary activity requires a resource consent before it can be carried out. The consent authority can exercise discretion as to whether or not to grant consent, and to impose conditions, but only in respect of those matters over which it has restricted its discretion in the plan or over which discretion is restricted in national environmental standards or other regulations ... This requested change implies the need for flexibility and discretion and it is not clear the extent to which	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								there would be consistency in determining what should be allowed in a “restricted” definition and it is also unclear the extent to which there would be community consultation.			
	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira (Te Rūnanga)	FS24.107	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	We support the whole of Submissions in relation to Chapter 8 and support Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira’s right to self-	Allow	Allow the amendment.	Accept in part
	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira (Te Rūnanga)	FS36.009	Wellington City Council	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Consistent with Wellington City Council’s position on the matter.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira (Te Rūnanga)	FS43.001	Rosco Ice Cream Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	The use of a prohibited activity rule is too inflexible and conflicts with the NPS- UD (particularly Policies 2 & 8) and as such could prevent territorial authorities from meeting their ongoing requirements under the NPS-UD.	Allow in part	Amend policy WH.P2 to restrict unplanned greenfield development – (instead of prohibiting unplanned greenfield development).	Accept in part
	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira (Te Rūnanga)	FS46.064	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited. Waste Management agrees that clarity is needed in the application of the policy.	Allow	Clarify the provisions relating to 'unplanned greenfield development' and the type of activities that would be captured by this rule and the appropriate rule category. Submits that the plan change should be amended to provide a more balanced and nuanced approach with regard to managing the tension between restricting urban sprawl and provision for practical flexibility for development in non-urban areas. Amend WH.P2(a) to state: restricting prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S217.005	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell (S217)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Considers the policy does not accurately reflect the objectives on aquatic ecosystem health. Considers that the objectives provide more flexibility than only an "improve" approach.	Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health Aquatic ecosystem health will be maintained or improved where relevant target attribute state is not met by: (a) progressively reducing the load or concentration of contaminants, particularly sediment, nutrients, pathogens and metals, entering water where relevant target attribute state is not met, and (b) maintaining or restoring habitats where relevant target attribute state is not met, and (c) maintaining or enhancing the natural flow regime of rivers and managing water flows and levels where relevant target attribute state is not met, including where there is interaction of flows between surface water and groundwater, and (d) co-ordinating and prioritising work programmes in catchments that require changes to land use activities that impact on water.		Accept in part
S217.015	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell (S217)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Considers the policy does not accurately reflect the objectives on aquatic ecosystem health. Considers that the objectives provide more flexibility than only an "improve" approach.	Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health Aquatic ecosystem health will be maintained or improved where relevant target attribute state is not met by: (a) progressively reducing the load or concentration of contaminants, particularly sediment, nutrients, pathogens and metals, entering water where relevant target attribute state is not met, and (b) maintaining or restoring habitats where relevant target attribute state is not met, and (c) maintaining or enhancing the natural flow regime of rivers and managing water flows and levels where relevant target attribute state is not met, including where there is interaction of flows between surface water and groundwater, and (d) co-ordinating and prioritising work programmes in catchments that require changes to land use activities that impact on water.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S217.028	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell (S217)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Considers that the use of the prohibited activity status for unplanned greenfield development is inappropriate, as the effects are not specified for any particular area. Considers this proposed approach is onerous, costly and will not achieve implementation of the NPS-UD. Considers that the current rules of the NRP and the proposed PC1 rules for planned greenfield development are sufficient to manage the adverse effects of unplanned greenfield development. Seeks for provisions which avoid or prohibit activities associated with unplanned greenfield development to be deleted.	Delete reference to prohibiting 'unplanned greenfield development' within Policy (i.e. delete clause (a)). Delete or recategorize the prohibited activity status for stormwater discharge activities associated with 'unplanned greenfield development'		Accept in part
S217.030	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell (S217)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Considers the use of the prohibited activity status for unplanned greenfield development is inappropriate, as the effects are not specified for any particular area. Considers this proposed approach is onerous, costly and will not achieve implementation of the NPS-UD. Considers that the current rules of the NRP and the proposed PC1 rules for planned greenfield development are sufficient to manage the adverse effects of unplanned greenfield development. Seeks for provisions which avoid or prohibit activities associated with unplanned greenfield development to be deleted.	Delete reference to prohibiting 'unplanned greenfield development' within Policy (i.e. delete clause (a)). Delete or recategorize the prohibited activity status for stormwater discharge activities associated with 'unplanned greenfield development'		Accept in part
S219.009	Cuttriss Consultants Ltd (S219)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Opposes prohibited policy and rules. Concerned prohibiting activities can lead to perverse outcomes (experienced with the NES-FW) as there is no consenting pathway to consider proposals that have a net positive impact on the environment, including freshwater and coastal systems.	Amend policy to remove reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development. (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants.		Accept in part
	Cuttriss Consultants Ltd	FS16.070	Woodridge Holdings Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target		Not stated	The use of a prohibited activity rule is a blunt instrument which conflicts with the NPS-UD and in particular Policy 8 and as such could prevent	Allow	Amend policy so that greenfield developments are not prohibited.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					attribute states and coastal water objectives.			territorial authorities from meeting its ongoing requirements under the NPS-UD. This provision is likely to lead to unintended consequences. Prohibited activity status will affect the ability of territorial authorities to make strategic decisions on growth and create difficulties with minor changes to urban zoning. The prohibited status has not been reasonably justified, and that alternatives that could achieve the strategic intent of the rule without requiring a dual plan change process. The prohibited status removes a consenting pathway for proposals that may have positive outcomes for the community and for freshwater. As the s32 evaluation suggest that contaminants can be addressed through a combination of treatment and financial contributions, prohibited activity status inappropriate. The requirement for two plan changes to enable greenfield development will create challenges for the private sector's responsiveness to the housing needs, is onerous and costly, and could jeopardise the economic viability of development and supply of affordable housing. The prohibition laden objective and policy framework (both in NRP and RPS) would render future plan changes an impossibility as they would likely be identified as being contrary to objectives and policies of the higher order planning framework set up by GW via PC1. GWRC should be considering each development individually, based on the merits and the impacts it has on the environment and any mitigation propose.			
	Cuttriss Consultants Ltd	FS26.063	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	The request is consistent with the Mansell's submission and represents good planning practice as prohibiting unplanned greenfield development is inappropriate and does not implement the NPS-UD or achieve the purpose of the RMA	Allow	Amend Policy WH.P2 by deleting Clause (a) with reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Cuttriss Consultants Ltd	FS13.072	Land Matters Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	For the reasons set out under submission point s219.002 – 005 and S219.008.	Allow in part	To amend the policy WH.P2 ‘management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives’ to remove the reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development	Accept in part
	Cuttriss Consultants Ltd	FS34.028	Orogen Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Refer to Orogen submission point S239.008 & S239.016. Greenfield developments can be appropriately designed to manage all effects and therefore should be considered on their merits. A planning pathway needs to be enabled through PC1 for such developments.	Allow	Adopt submission to enable unplanned greenfield development.	Accept in part
S219.021	Cuttriss Consultants Ltd (S219)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Opposes prohibited policy and rules. Concerned prohibiting activities can lead to perverse outcomes (experienced with the NES-FW) as there is no consenting pathway to consider proposals that have a net positive impact on the environment, including freshwater and coastal systems.	Request policy is amended to remove reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development. wording proposed is as follows: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants,		Accept in part
	Cuttriss Consultants Ltd	FS26.068	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	The request is consistent with the Mansell's submission and represents good planning practice as prohibiting unplanned greenfield development is inappropriate and does not implement the NPS-UD or achieve the purpose of the RMA	Allow	Delete Clause (a) from Policy P.P2 regarding prohibiting unplanned greenfield development	Accept in part
	Cuttriss Consultants Ltd	FS13.068	Land Matters Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	LML considers that there has been a failure to carry out an evaluation to the level necessary to determine if proposed change 1 is the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act and National Policy Statement – Freshwater Water as well as achieving the outcomes of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development. LML considers that PC1 be delayed until all Whaitua processes have been completed – including the Whaitua Kāpiti.	Allow	LML supports withdrawal of PC1 to enable genuine consultation to occur, including with: the development community; landowners of greenfield landowners whereby the land has been identified as suitable for future urban use but not necessarily zoned future urban or urban; and communities who have yet to have freshwater management units.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Cuttriss Consultants Ltd	FS34.026	Orogen Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Refer to Orogen submission point S239.008 & S239.016. Greenfield developments can be appropriately designed to manage all effects and therefore should be considered on their merits. A planning pathway needs to be enabled through PC1 for such developments.	Allow	Adopt submission to enable unplanned greenfield development.	Accept in part
S22.016	Lynn Cadenhead (S22)			4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept
S220.010	Rosco Ice Cream Ltd (S220)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Notes one of the stated policy methods is to prohibit unplanned greenfield development and therefore opposes this policy.	Amend the policy to restrict discharges from unplanned greenfield development.		Accept in part
	Rosco Ice Cream Ltd	FS46.053	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited.	Allow	Amend the policy to restrict discharges from unplanned greenfield development.	Accept in part
S221.011	Generation Zero (S221)			4 Policies	4.6 Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.	Not Stated		Suggests sections such as 4.6 on Biodiversity, where “maintain or where practicable restore” is used, could focus on improvement as well as restoration Considers the current wording presents restoration as optional. Suggests goal for policy should not be maintenance but improvement and should align better with principles of stewardship and Kaitiakitanga inherent to Te Mana o te Wai.	Not Stated		Accept in part
S222.034	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Amend (b) to read "restoring habitats and natural form and character".		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS8.014	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic		Oppose	Winstone oppose including reference to natural form and character in the objective. The restoration of natural character is	Disallow	Winstone seek that relief sought is not allowed.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					ecosystem health.			not identified in the NPS-FM and is not appropriately measurable for the purpose of setting target attribute states. Restoration is also unlikely to be reasonably achievable.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS25.063	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	The need for the additional wording 'natural form and character' and the requirement to restore natural form and character is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow	Retain the wording of Policy WH.P1 (b) as notified, subject to the amendment sought by GTC in their original submission	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS26.051	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose in part	The need for the additional wording 'natural form and character' and the requirement to restore natural form and character is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Policy WH.P1 (b) as notified, subject to the amendment sought by the Mansell's in their original submission	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.215	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS20.006	Transpower New Zealand Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose in part	Refer to Transpower's submission on objective WH.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include "restoring... natural form and character" in policy WH.P1.	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.190	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.926	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS31.015	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	While WIAL supports the intent of the submission that PC1 should give effect to the NPSFM, it is imperative to ensure that in achieving this outcome, all elements of the NPSFM are appropriately recognised and provided for, including the specific policy approach for specified infrastructure.	Disallow	To give effect to NPSFM. Seeks explicit provision for natural form and character.	Accept
S222.035	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Amend (f) to require avoidance of significant adverse effects from earthworks, forestry and vegetation clearance activities. Support removal of stock from waterbodies and the coastal environment.		Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS25.064	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	The need to avoid (and not manage) adverse effects from earthworks, forestry and vegetation clearance activities is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow	Either delete clause (f) of Policy WH.P2 or amend as requested by GTC in their original submission	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS26.052	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	The need to avoid (and not manage) adverse effects from earthworks and vegetation clearance activities is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow	Amend Policy WH.P2 as requested by the Mansell's in their original submission	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.216	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.191	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.927	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS28.050	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Use of avoidance is opposed.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS45.022	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes this submission to the extent that it is inconsistent with its primary submission.	Disallow	Amend (f) to require avoidance of significant adverse effects from earthworks, forestry and vegetation clearance activities. Support removal of stock from waterbodies and the coastal environment.	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS46.052	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	The submitter's proposed amendment does not recognize that in some instances water quality can be maintained (or enhanced) by mitigation measures and some level of residual effect may be acceptable in some cases. The use of "avoid" is a very high test and would be overly onerous. As per Waste Management's primary submission the approach to prohibiting activities is not supported.	Disallow	Relief sought: Amend (f) to require avoidance of significant adverse effects from earthworks, forestry and vegetation clearance activities. Support removal of stock from waterbodies and the coastal environment.	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS47.164	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	The amendment is not necessary. Avoidance of significant adverse effects will be a subset of 'active management' of these activities, determined according to the circumstances;	Disallow	Disallow S222.035.	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS31.020	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	While WIAL supports the intent of the submission that PC1 should give effect to the NPSFM, it is imperative to ensure that in achieving this outcome, all elements of the NPSFM are appropriately recognised and provided for, including the specific policy approach for specified infrastructure. WIAL is also concerned that the proposed requirement to avoid significant adverse effects is too broad and	Disallow	To give effect to the NPSFM. Amend (f) to require avoidance of significant adverse effects from earthworks, forestry and vegetation clearance activities. Support removal of stock from waterbodies and the coastal environment.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								needs to be qualified and tied back to the chapeau of the policy (i.e. significant adverse effects of earthworks on target attribute states and coastal water objectives.			
S222.037	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.218	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.193	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.929	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.038	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.	Amend		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Amend 2040 to 2030 to reflect the urgency of addressing freshwater issues and the biodiversity crisis.		Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS8.015	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.		Oppose	Winstone oppose altering the timeframe to 2030. While Winstone support setting aspirational targets for meeting Target Attribute States, Winstone raises concern whether targets are realistically achievable. Winstone note that the improvements required through Plan Change 1 already require a significant land use change over a short time period (16 years). Notwithstanding Winstone's concerns over whether the current targets can be realistically achieved	Disallow	Winstone seek that relief sought is not allowed.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								(see Submission Point S206.034), reducing the time period (to 6 years) would require further significant land use change that is unlikely to align with community aspirations.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.219	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.194	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.930	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.013	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S222.080	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Amend (b) to read "restoring habitats and natural form and character"		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS26.057	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose in part	The need for the additional wording 'natural form and character' and the requirement to restore natural form and character is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Policy P.P1 (b) as notified, subject to the amendment sought by the Mansell's in their original submission	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.261	New Zealand Farm Forestry	9 Te Awarua-o-	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
			Association (NZFFA)	Porirua Whaitua	ecosystem health.						
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS20.013	Transpower New Zealand Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose in part	Refer to Transpower’s submission on objective P.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include “restoring... natural form and character” in policy P.P1.	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.236	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.972	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.081	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Amend (f) to require avoidance of significant adverse effects from earthworks, forestry and vegetation clearance activities		Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS26.058	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	The need to avoid (and not manage) adverse effects from earthworks and vegetation clearance activities is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow	Amend Policy P.P2 as requested by the Mansell's in their original submission	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.262	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.237	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
				Porirua Whaitua	achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.			waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.973	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS28.051	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Use of avoidance is opposed.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part
S222.082	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Amend 2040 to 2030 to reflect the urgency of addressing freshwater issues and the biodiversity crisis		Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.263	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.238	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.974	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values,	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS45.025	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	In line with the Kāinga Ora primary submission, Kāinga Ora opposes any shortened timeframes.	Disallow	Amend 2040 to 2030 to reflect the urgency of addressing freshwater issues and the biodiversity crisis	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.018	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					load reductions.						
S225.066	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Concerned about practicality of working on reducing contaminants all at once.	Seek clarification on whether (a) to (c) is achievable within the ten-year planning cycle or whether some contaminants should be prioritised.		Reject
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.894	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S225.067	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Opposes prohibition of development, as it limits options to give effect to NPS-UD and overrides District Plan changes and reviews currently underway or proposed in future. Considers greenfield development has more opportunity to address effects, particularly given space available to incorporate design and infrastructure solutions when compared to constrained urban environments. Notes prohibition in policy, and direction in objective above it, would render a future plan change an impossibility as it wouldn't implement higher order documents. Considers the section 32 analysis would need to consider provisions PC1 and recent changes to NRP and therefore would be at risk of being contrary to objectives and policies in these plans.	Seek that the policy is amended to read: ... “(a) prohibiting managing unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and”		Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS11.016	GILLIES GROUP MANAGEMENT LTD	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Agrees with the amendments to Policy WH.P2 to seek that unplanned development is managed and not prohibited.	Allow	Support submission point in full	Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS16.071	Woodridge Holdings Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute		Not stated	The use of a prohibited activity rule is a blunt instrument which conflicts with the NPS-UD and in particular Policy 8 and as such could prevent territorial authorities from meeting	Allow	Amend policy so that greenfield developments are not prohibited.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					states and coastal water objectives.			its ongoing requirements under the NPS-UD. This provision is likely to lead to unintended consequences. Prohibited activity status will affect the ability of territorial authorities to make strategic decisions on growth and create difficulties with minor changes to urban zoning. The prohibited status has not been reasonably justified, and that alternatives that could achieve the strategic intent of the rule without requiring a dual plan change process. The prohibited status removes a consenting pathway for proposals that may have positive outcomes for the community and for freshwater. As the s32 evaluation suggest that contaminants can be addressed through a combination of treatment and financial contributions, prohibited activity status inappropriate. The requirement for two plan changes to enable greenfield development will create challenges for the private sector's responsiveness to the housing needs, is onerous and costly, and could jeopardise the economic viability of development and supply of affordable housing. The prohibition laden objective and policy framework (both in NRP and RPS) would render future plan changes an impossibility as they would likely be identified as being contrary to objectives and policies of the higher order planning framework set up by GW via PC1. GWRC should be considering each development individually, based on the merits and the impacts it has on the environment and any mitigation propose.			
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS30.016	Pukerua Holdings Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Agrees with the amendments to Policy WH.P2 to seek that unplanned development is managed and not prohibited.	Allow	Support submission point in full	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS22.013	Cannon Point Development Limited (Ltd.)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	The proposed change to Clause (a) reflects the relief sought in Cannon Point Development Ltd.'s submission on this provision and supporting reasons. The submission also raises valid points regarding the opportunities for greenfield development to address adverse effects and the practical curtailing of future plan changes.	Allow	Support the request to amend Clause (a) to manage unplanned greenfield development rather than prohibiting it. Agree with, and support, all reasons for relief sought, including: -opposition to prohibition of development, as it limits options to give effect to NPS-UD and overrides District Plan changes and reviews currently underway or proposed in future. -greenfield development has more opportunity to address effects, particularly given space available to incorporate design and infrastructure solutions when compared to constrained urban environments. -prohibition in policy, and direction in objective above it, would render a future plan change an impossibility as it wouldn't implement higher order documents. Considers the section 32 analysis would need to consider provisions PC1 and recent changes to NRP and therefore would be at risk of being contrary to objectives and policies in these plans.	Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.895	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS36.042	Wellington City Council	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Consistent with Wellington City Council's position on the matter.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS46.051	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited. Waste Management agrees with the submitters reasoning regarding the	Allow	Considers greenfield development has more opportunity to address effects, particularly given space available to incorporate design and infrastructure solutions when	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					states and coastal water objectives.			opportunities that new development has to mitigate effects of stormwater discharge.		<p>compared to constrained urban environments. Notes prohibition in policy, and direction in objective above it, would render a future plan change an impossibility as it wouldn't implement higher order documents.</p> <p>Considers the section 32 analysis would need to consider provisions Plan Change 1 and recent changes to Natural Resources Plan and therefore would be at risk of being contrary to objectives and policies in these plans.</p> <p>Relief sought: Seek that the policy is amended to read: ... "(a) prohibiting managing unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and"</p>	
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS48.009	Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	The implementation of the NPS-FM should not adversely affect the ability to undertake urban development requirements any more than necessary. There should be more appropriate balance between the requirements of the NPS-FM and the NPS-UD requirements that allows the potential effects and benefits of unplanned greenfield developments, including environmental enhancement, to be considered.	Allow	<p>Opposes prohibition of development, as it limits options to give effect to NPS-UD and overrides District Plan changes and reviews currently underway or proposed in future. Considers greenfield development has more opportunity to address effects, particularly given space available to incorporate design and infrastructure solutions when compared to constrained urban environments. Notes prohibition in policy, and direction in objective above it, would render a future plan change an impossibility as it wouldn't implement higher order documents. Considers the section 32 analysis would need to consider provisions PC1 and recent changes to NRP and therefore would be at risk of being contrary to objectives and policies in these plans.</p> <p>seek that the policy is amended to read:... "(a) prohibiting managing unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial</p>	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
										contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and"	
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS31.021	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	WIAL supports the proposed amendments to limb (a) and agrees that that the proposed prohibition undermines the District Plan changes and reviews currently underway or proposed in future.	Allow in part	Seek that the policy is amended to read: ... (a) prohibiting managing unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and"	Accept in part
S225.069	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Amend		Supports intent but considers it overly ambitious to achieve	Introduce interim targets rather than trying to achieve this in a short timescale.		Reject
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.897	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S226.004	Higgins Contractors Limited (S226)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Not opposed to Policy WH.P2 in principle and supports fresh and coastal water quality improvements Opposes methods regulating discharge in policy including (c) requiring extensive hydrological controls for small sites and (d) imposing requirements for stormwater management strategies or impact assessments from all networks.	Remove the imposition of hydrological controls under (c), or amend wording to have regard to hydrological controls, rather than the imposition of such. Remove requirement of a reduction in contaminant load from stormwater network, or amend to include provisions or small site development discharges.		Accept in part
	Higgins Contractors Limited	FS46.050	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Neutral	Waste Management agrees that provisions relating to small site development may be effective. Waste Management considers further amendments are required to the policy so remove the reference to 'prohibited' unplanned greenfield activities.	Neutral	Remove the imposition of hydrological controls under (c), or amend wording to have regard to hydrological controls, rather than the imposition of such. Remove requirement of a reduction in contaminant load from stormwater network, or amend to include provisions or small site development discharges.	Accept
S236.006	Parkvale Road Limited (S236)			8 Whaitua Te	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to	Amend		Opposes approach towards unplanned greenfield development and seeks amendments to the	Amend the policy as follows: Policy WH.P2 Management of		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
				Whanganui-a-Tara	achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.			policy that still provides for stormwater quality matters to be addressed appropriately.	activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls nonurban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
	Parkvale Road Limited	FS46.049	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited.	Allow	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
										development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and	
S240.032	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Support		Supports the progressive reduction of contaminants and restoration of habitats.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.129	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S240.033	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Considers prohibition of unplanned greenfield development may result in unintended consequences with no consenting pathway to consider a proposal located in these areas that may have positive outcomes, including positive outcomes for freshwater. Considers the activity status is a blunt instrument that would also make an incursion into these areas prohibited no matter how small. For example a new road connecting urban areas (or urban to rural areas) would be prohibited if it needed to “clip” an area mapped as unplanned. Considers policy direction should be amended to “avoid” with a non-complying activity status. Notes the application of a prohibited activity status requires a high level of evaluation to justify its use and considers that the s32 Evaluation is insufficient. Considers the s32 Evaluation contains contradictory statements with regard to the ability of PC1 to mitigate contaminants from urban developments. Questions how a prohibited activity status could be justified on an effects management basis if PC1 manages all water quality effects, including residual effects as stated in the s32.Considers the prohibition on greenfield development is	Amend the policy as follows: Policy P.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting avoiding unplanned greenfield development and for managing other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>inconsistent with the NPS-UD. Considers Map 86 is inconsistent with the decisions on the Proposed Porirua District Plan. In some instances the unplanned area includes areas confirmed as Future Urban Zone including in Waitangirua, Pukerua Bay and Judgeford. There are also parts of Judgeford that were not rezoned as Future Urban Zone due to natural hazard risk. Considers the avoid/prohibited approach may directly conflict with Council’s ability to give effect to the NPS-UD. Concerned Hongoeka has been identified as an area of unplanned urban development, meaning any greenfield development in this area is prohibited. This will likely be of concern to Hongoeka Whanau. Hongoeka is partly urban in nature in terms of lots sizes, and has reticulated sewerage and drinking water supply. Council worked in partnership with Te Rūnanga and with the Hongoeka Marae Committee on creating an enabling zoning for this area in the PDP. Considers a prohibited activity status makes it difficult for territorial authorities to consider a plan change in an unplanned greenfield area as per Policy 8 of the NPS-UD. Concerned about having to undertake two plan changes (both a district and regional plan change) would be an administrative and financial impediment to urban development and the economic impact of having to undertake two parallel plan changes has not been fully assessed in the s32 with regard to the NPS-UD, or in terms of the impact on housing and business capacity. States intent of P.P2(b) is unclear and is inconsistent with and duplicates (c) and (d). Supports the regulation of contaminant discharges from redevelopment activities, and considers that the “encouraging” policy direction is inconsistent with the “imposing”</p>	<p>(f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.</p>		

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								and “requiring” policy direction in (c) and (d).			
	Porirua City Council	FS11.031	GILLIES GROUP MANAGEMENT LTD	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Agrees that the prohibition of unplanned greenfield development may result in unintended consequences with no consenting pathway to consider a proposal located in this area that may have positive outcomes, including for freshwater, housing supply and business zoned land.	Allow	Support submission point in full	Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS30.029	Pukerua Holdings Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Agrees that the prohibition of unplanned greenfield development may result in unintended consequences with no consenting pathway to consider a proposal located in this area that may have positive outcomes, including for freshwater.	Allow	Support submission point in full	Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.130	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Porirua City Council	FS29.012	Peka Peka Farm Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose in part	PPFL supports the submitters concern regarding the prohibition of greenfield development. However, PPFL considers that the relief sought of substituting ‘avoiding’ for ‘prohibiting’ does not address the underlying concern.	Disallow in part	S240.033 in its entirety.	Reject
S240.035	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.	Support		Supports in principle the reduction in annual sediment load.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.132	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S241.023	Pukerua Property Group Ltd (S241)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Considers using stormwater control to effectively manage or prevent land use is not consistent with integrated management principles contained in RMA.	Withdraw PC1. If PC1 not withdrawn, delete objectives and policies using stormwater controls to manage or prevent land use. If objective and policies are not deleted, they should be amended to remove avoidance principles and		Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									replaced with objectives and policies with same effect/guidance as remainder of PC1 before notification with perhaps some policy relief for activities that require consent under operative provisions (in force before PC1).		
	Pukerua Property Group Ltd	FS13.024	Land Matters Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	LML considers that there has been a failure to carry out an evaluation to the level necessary to determine if proposed change 1 is the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act and National Policy Statement – Freshwater Water as well as achieving the outcomes of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development. LML also considers that PC1 be delayed until all Whaitua processes have been completed – including the Whaitua Kāpiti which will also allow time to incorporate any review on national planning documents as indicated will occur by the present government coalition.	Allow in part	LML supports withdrawal of PC1 to enable genuine consultation to occur, including with: the development community; landowners of greenfield landowners whereby the land has been identified as suitable for future urban use but not necessarily zoned future urban or urban; and communities who have yet to have freshwater management units.	Accept in part
	Pukerua Property Group Ltd	FS13.046	Land Matters Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	LML considers that the appropriateness of not providing for existing consent holders has not been considered.	Allow in part	Provide for existing developments which have a consent in place prior to PC1 having legal effect.	Accept in part
S241.024	Pukerua Property Group Ltd (S241)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.	Amend		Considers using stormwater control to effectively manage or prevent land use is not consistent with integrated management principles contained in RMA.	Withdraw PC1. If PC1 not withdrawn, delete objectives and policies using stormwater controls to manage or prevent land use. If objective and policies are not deleted, they should be amended to remove avoidance principles and replaced with objectives and policies with same effect/guidance as remainder of PC1 before notification with perhaps some policy relief for activities that require consent under operative provisions (in force before PC1).		Accept in part
	Pukerua Property Group Ltd	FS13.025	Land Matters Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Support in part	LML considers that there has been a failure to carry out an evaluation to the level necessary to determine if proposed change 1 is the most	Allow in part	LML supports withdrawal of PC1 to enable genuine consultation to occur, including with: the development community;	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act and National Policy Statement – Freshwater Water as well as achieving the outcomes of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development. LML also considers that PC1 be delayed until all Whaitua processes have been completed – including the Whaitua Kāpiti which will also allow time to incorporate any review on national planning documents as indicated will occur by the present government coalition.		landowners of greenfield landowners whereby the land has been identified as suitable for future urban use but not necessarily zoned future urban or urban; and communities who have yet to have freshwater management units.	
	Pukerua Property Group Ltd	FS13.047	Land Matters Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Support in part	LML considers that the appropriateness of not providing for existing consent holders has not been considered.	Allow in part	Provide for existing developments which have a consent in place prior to PC1 having legal effect.	Accept in part
S243.011	Land Matters Limited (S243)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Considers use of stormwater control to effectively manage or prevent land use inconsistent with integrated management principles contained in RMA.	Seeks objectives and policies be deleted or amended to provide opportunities for development within Porirua Whaitua. If not deleted, requests objectives and policies be amended to remove avoidance principles and be replaced with objectives and policies of same effect/guidance as NRP before notification with some policy relief for activities that require consent under the operative provisions.		Accept
S243.012	Land Matters Limited (S243)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.	Amend		Considers use of stormwater control to effectively manage or prevent land use inconsistent with integrated management principles contained in RMA.	Seeks objectives and policies be deleted or amended to provide opportunities for development within Porirua Whaitua. If not deleted, requests objectives and policies be amended to remove avoidance principles and be replaced with objectives and policies of same effect/guidance as NRP before notification with some policy relief for activities that require consent under the operative provisions.		Accept in part
S245.002	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Supports the intent of the policy but it needs to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23.	Amend Policies to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23. Requests adding a new paragraph with the following wording (e) reducing contaminant and sediment loadings in stormwater at source, through contaminant		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									treatment and by controls on land use activities.		
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS23.464	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS28.021	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Clause (a) addresses this matter in conjunction with WH.P10.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS39.144	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	WWL supports the additional provision regarding on-site control of stormwater, but notes that there will be some sites where this is not practicable. WWL therefore supports the additional provision, provided a practicability requirement is included.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S245.003	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Supports the intent of the policy but it needs to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23.	Amend Policies to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23. Requests the following wording be added Promoting design options that reduce flows to stormwater reticulation systems at source.		Reject
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS23.465	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS46.048	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Neutral	Waste Management does not have a position on the amendment proposed but Waste Management seeks the policy be further amended as per its primary submission.	Neutral	Amend Policies to be consistent with New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement Policy 23. Requests the following wording be added Promoting design options that reduce flows to stormwater reticulation systems at source.	Reject
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS31.022	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target		Oppose in part	While WIAL considers it appropriate for the policy intent to be generally consistent with Policy 23 of the NZCPS, the extent of those changes	Disallow in part	Supports the intent of the policy but it needs to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23. Amend Policies to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					attribute states and coastal water objectives.			is unclear. WIAL also notes that the policy relates to freshwater and coastal water. Care therefore needs to be taken to ensure that any potential drafting amendments do not inappropriately conflate the requirements of the NPSFM and NZCPS.		Requests the following wording be added Promoting design options that reduce flows to stormwater reticulation systems at source.	
S245.018	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Supports the intent of the policy but it needs to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23.	Amend policies to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23. Requests paragraph (e) be added. Wording for paragraph is as follows: (e) reducing contaminant and sediment loadings in stormwater at source, through contaminant treatment and by controls on land use activities.		Reject
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS23.480	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS28.033	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Clause (a) addresses this matter in conjunction with WH.P10.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS39.147	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	WWL supports the additional provision regarding on-site control of stormwater, but notes that there will be some sites where this is not practicable. WWL therefor supports the additional provision, provided a practicability requirement is included.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S245.019	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Supports the intent of the policy but it needs to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23.	Amend policies to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23 Requests a paragraph be added. Wording for paragraph is as follows: Promoting design options that reduce flows to storm reticulation systems at source.		Accept in part
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS23.481	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
				Porirua Whaitua	achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.			waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
S245.020	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.	Amend		Supports the intent of the policy but it needs to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23.	Amend policies to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23		Reject
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS23.482	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S246.031	Water New Zealand (S246)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Support		Generally supportive of policy and the clauses to achieve the policy.	Not stated		Reject
	Water New Zealand	FS46.047	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Waste Management is not supportive of the policy, specifically clause (a) that refers to prohibiting development.	Neutral	Generally supportive of policy and the clauses to achieve the policy. Relief sought not stated.	Accept
S247.009	Carrus Corporation Ltd (S247)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Opposes prohibited policy and rules. Concerned prohibiting activities can lead to perverse outcomes (experienced with the NES-FW) as there is no consenting pathway to consider proposals that have a net positive impact on the environment, including freshwater and coastal systems.	Amend policy to remove reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development. (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants.		Accept in part
	Carrus Corporation Ltd	FS16.072	Woodridge Holdings Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and		Not stated	The use of a prohibited activity rule is a blunt instrument which conflicts with the NPS-UD and in particular Policy 8 and as such could prevent territorial authorities from meeting its ongoing requirements under the	Allow	Amend policy so that greenfield developments are not prohibited.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					coastal water objectives.			NPS-UD. This provision is likely to lead to unintended consequences. Prohibited activity status will affect the ability of territorial authorities to make strategic decisions on growth and create difficulties with minor changes to urban zoning. The prohibited status has not been reasonably justified, and that alternatives that could achieve the strategic intent of the rule without requiring a dual plan change process. The prohibited status removes a consenting pathway for proposals that may have positive outcomes for the community and for freshwater. As the s32 evaluation suggest that contaminants can be addressed through a combination of treatment and financial contributions, prohibited activity status inappropriate. The requirement for two plan changes to enable greenfield development will create challenges for the private sector's responsiveness to the housing needs, is onerous and costly, and could jeopardise the economic viability of development and supply of affordable housing. The prohibition laden objective and policy framework (both in NRP and RPS) would render future plan changes an impossibility as they would likely be identified as being contrary to objectives and policies of the higher order planning framework set up by GW via PC1. GWRC should be considering each development individually, based on the merits and the impacts it has on the environment and any mitigation propose.			
	Carrus Corporation Ltd	FS27.1198	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Carrus Corporation Ltd	FS34.005	Orogen Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and		Support	Refer to Orogen submission points S239.008 & S239.016. Smaller greenfield developments can be appropriately designed to manage all effects and therefore should be considered on their merits. A	Allow	Adopt submission to enable unplanned greenfield development.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					coastal water objectives.			planning pathway needs to be enabled through PC1 for such developments.			
	Carrus Corporation Ltd	FS46.046	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited.	Allow	Amend policy to remove reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development. (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants.	Accept in part
S247.021	Carrus Corporation Ltd (S247)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Opposes prohibited policy and rules. Concerned prohibiting activities can lead to perverse outcomes (experienced with the NES-FW) as there is no consenting pathway to consider proposals that have a net positive impact on the environment, including freshwater and coastal systems.	Request policy is amended to remove reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development. wording proposed is as follows: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants,		Accept in part
	Carrus Corporation Ltd	FS16.149	Woodridge Holdings Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Not stated	The use of the prohibited activity rule is a blunt instrument which conflicts with the NPS-UD and in particular Policy 8 and as such could prevent territorial authorities from meeting its ongoing requirements under the NPS-UD. This provision is likely to lead to unintended consequences. Prohibited activity status will affect the ability of territorial authorities to make strategic decisions on growth and create difficulties with minor changes to urban zoning. The prohibited status has not been reasonably justified, and that alternatives that could achieve the strategic intent of the rule without requiring a dual plan change process. The prohibited status removes a consenting pathway for proposals that may have positive outcomes for the community and for freshwater. The s32 evaluation suggest that contaminants can be	Allow	Amend policy so that greenfield developments are not prohibited.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								addressed through a combination of treatment and financial contributions, therefore the prohibited activity status inappropriate. The requirement for two plan changes to enable greenfield development on the basis that it will create challenges for the private sector's responsiveness to the housing needs, is onerous and costly, and could jeopardise the economic viability of development and supply of affordable housing. The prohibition laden objective and policy framework (both in NRP and RPS) would render future plan change an impossibility as they are likely to be found to be on conflict with the higher order documents. GWRC should be considering each development individually, based on the merits and the impacts it has on the environment and any mitigation propose.			
	Carrus Corporation Ltd	FS27.1210	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Carrus Corporation Ltd	FS34.007	Orogen Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Refer to Orogen submission points S239.008 & S239.016. Smaller greenfield developments can be appropriately designed to manage all effects and therefore should be considered on their merits. A planning pathway needs to be enabled through PC1 for such developments.	Allow	Adopt submission to enable unplanned greenfield development.	Accept in part
S248.020	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections (S248)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Considers policy is inappropriate because definition of “unplanned greenfield development” is broad, uncertain, and could prohibit maintenance, upgrading and development of regionally significant infrastructure. Considers prohibition on unplanned greenfield development is inappropriate and must be removed. If relief sought by submitter on the definition of “unplanned greenfield development” is granted in full, submitter would adopt a neutral position on this aspect of policy. Considers amendment to policy is	Amend as follows: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								necessary to ensure it is consistent with effects management hierarchy set out in NPS-FM. Aquatic offsetting is only necessary where residual adverse effects are more than minor, and resource consent applicants should be encouraged to minimise residual adverse effects so they are no more than minor (in which case aquatic offsetting is not required). Considers if aquatic offsetting is required, financial contributions as proposed by PC1 should be available as a discretionary option for achieving offsetting, but not a mandatory requirement. If applicants can provide alternative effective methods of aquatic offsetting as part of proposal in accordance with Appendix 6 of NPS-FM, then financial contributions should not be required.	discharge of stormwater contaminants from greenfield development, and where residual adverse effects from the discharge of stormwater contaminants are more than minor, requiring aquatic offsetting or compensation (which may include financial contributions) as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
S248.044	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections (S248)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Considers policy is inappropriate because definition of “unplanned greenfield development” is broad, uncertain, and could prohibit maintenance, upgrading and development of existing assets and considers that the prohibition on unplanned greenfield development is inappropriate and must be removed. If relief sought by submitter on the definition of “unplanned greenfield development” is granted in full, submitter would adopt a neutral position on this aspect of policy. Considers amendment to policy is	Amend policy as follows: Policy P.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								necessary to ensure it is consistent with effects management hierarchy set out in NPS-FM. Aquatic offsetting is only necessary where residual adverse effects are more than minor, and resource consent applicants should be encouraged to minimise residual adverse effects so they are no more than minor (in which case aquatic offsetting is not required). Further, if aquatic offsetting is required, financial contributions as proposed by PC1 should be available as a discretionary option for achieving offsetting, but not a mandatory requirement. If applicants can provide alternative effective methods of aquatic offsetting as part of proposal in accordance with Appendix 6 of NPS-FM, then financial contributions should not be required.	discharge of stormwater contaminants from greenfield development, and where residual adverse effects from the discharge of stormwater contaminants are more than minor, requiring aquatic offsetting or compensation (which may include financial contributions) as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
S251.005	Peka Peka Farm Limited (S251)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Opposes approach towards unplanned greenfield development and requests amendments whilst still providing for stormwater quality matters to be addressed appropriately. Requests amendment that addresses opposition to the proposed financial contribution regime.	Amend the policy as follows: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									<p>contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and</p> <p>(b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and</p> <p>(c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and</p> <p>(d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and</p> <p>(e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and</p> <p>(f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and</p> <p>(g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and</p> <p>(h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.</p>		
	Peka Peka Farm Limited	FS46.044	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited.	Allow	Relief sought: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants,	Accept in part
S251.010	Peka Peka Farm Limited (S251)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Opposes approach towards unplanned greenfield development and requests amendments whilst still providing for stormwater quality matters to be addressed appropriately. Requests amendment that addresses opposition to the proposed financial contribution regime.	<p>Amend the policy as follows:</p> <p>Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives</p> <p>Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by:</p> <p>(a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the</p>		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
S252.009	Thames Pacific (S252)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Opposes prohibited policy and rules. Concerned prohibiting activities can lead to perverse outcomes (experienced with the NES-FW) as there is no consenting pathway to consider proposals that have a net positive impact on the environment, including freshwater and coastal systems.	Amend policy to remove reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development. (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants,		Accept in part
	Thames Pacific	FS26.074	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	The request is consistent with the Mansell's submission and represents good planning practice as prohibiting unplanned greenfield development is inappropriate and does not implement the NPS-UD or achieve the purpose of the RMA	Allow	Amend Policy WH.P2 by deleting Clause (a) with reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Thames Pacific	FS46.043	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited.	Allow	Amend policy to remove reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development. (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants,	Accept in part
S252.020	Thames Pacific (S252)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Opposes prohibited policy and rules. Concerned prohibiting activities can lead to perverse outcomes (experienced with the NES-FW) as there is no consenting pathway to consider proposals that have a net positive impact on the environment, including freshwater and coastal systems.	Request policy is amended to remove reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development. wording proposed is as follows: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants,		Accept in part
	Thames Pacific	FS26.079	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	The request is consistent with the Mansell's submission and represents good planning practice as prohibiting unplanned greenfield development is inappropriate and does not implement the NPS-UD or achieve the purpose of the RMA	Allow	Delete Clause (a) from Policy P.P2 regarding prohibiting unplanned greenfield development	Accept in part
S255.018	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			4 Policies	Policy P30: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.	Amend		Suggests policy is missing words, uses vague words and cannot be complied with if there are any indigenous aquatic species and indigenous birds present.	Amend wording "Manage the adverse effects of use and development [of land] on biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai to: ..." and be more specific by removing the words "where practical" as they are vague. The wording of Item (e) relates to "Critical habitat for indigenous aquatic species and indigenous birds". But the wording covers every situation, not just "critical" ones such as breeding and migration. As a result, if there is any indigenous aquatic species or bird species in the area compliance cannot be achieved. It also uses the vague wording "where practical."		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S255.040	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Item P.P2(b) is "encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and", but the rules do not 'encourage' redevelopments to reduce urban contaminant loads they 'require' it.	Amend all rules so that they 'encourage' and do not 'require' developments to reduce urban contaminant loads in accordance with this policy.		Accept in part
S256.009	Waste Management NZ Limited (S256)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Opposes the policy direction to prohibit unplanned greenfield development. Considers discharges from unplanned greenfield development may be able to be managed and have an acceptable effect and not all discharges from unplanned greenfield development areas need to be avoided to achieve target attribute states. Considers each proposal requires assessment on a case by-case basis. Considers 'restricting' discharged would better achieve the intent of higher order documents.	Amend Policy WH.P2(a) as follows: Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) restricting avoiding discharges from unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and Any other relief or consequential amendments necessary to address the concerns set out in this submission.		Accept in part
	Waste Management NZ Limited	FS27.1052	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S257.011	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities (S257)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Generally supports the intent of this policy, but opposes reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development at WH.P2(a). Reasons for this are discussed in submission on the relevant policy and rule framework specific to unplanned greenfield development. Would support an extended timeline for the achievement of meeting the TAS.	Remove reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development at WH.P2(a). Any further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS48.010	Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Oppose the reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development at WH.P2(a). The blanket prohibition against unplanned greenfield development is unnecessary and does not align with the NPS-UD.	Allow	Remove reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development at WH.P2(a).	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS31.023	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	WIAL agrees with the submitter that prohibition of unplanned greenfield development is inappropriate and has not been appropriately evaluated in terms of section 32 of the RMA.	Allow	Remove reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development at WH.P2(a).	Accept in part
S257.012	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities (S257)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Neutral		Generally supports but would support an extended timeline for the achievement of meeting the TAS.	Amend to reflect an extended timeline for the achievement of TAS which takes into consideration the feasibility and cost of achieving the prescribed timeframes. Any further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.		Reject
S257.013	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities (S257)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.	Neutral		Generally supports but would support an extended timeline for the achievement of meeting the TAS.	Amend to reflect an extended timeline for the achievement of TAS which takes into consideration the feasibility and cost of achieving the prescribed timeframes. Any further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.		Reject
S257.040	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities (S257)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Support		Generally support this policy as it focuses on the improvement of ecosystem health, which is consistent with the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S257.041	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities (S257)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Generally support this policy as it focuses on the new attributes aimed specifically at providing for ecosystem health, which is consistent with the NPS-FM; although the related timeline for achievement of the corresponding TAS is sought to be extended, as noted elsewhere. Opposes reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development at P.P2(a) for reasons noted in submission against the relevant policy and rule framework specific to unplanned greenfield development.	Remove reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development at P.P2(a). Any further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS48.036	Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and		Support	The proposed approach of effectively prohibiting any unplanned greenfield development is inconsistent with the NPS-UD.	Allow	Remove reference to prohibiting unplanned greenfield development at P.P2(a).	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					coastal water objectives.						
S257.042	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities (S257)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.	Amend		Generally supports but would support an extended timeline for the achievement of meeting the TAS.	Amend to reflect an extended timeline for the achievement of TAS which takes into consideration the feasibility and cost of achieving the prescribed timeframes. Any further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.		Reject
S257.043	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities (S257)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.	Amend		Generally supports but would support an extended timeline for the achievement of meeting the TAS.	Amend to reflect an extended timeline for the achievement of TAS which takes into consideration the feasibility and cost of achieving the prescribed timeframes. Any further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.		Reject
S258.006	BP Oil NZ Ltd, Mobil Oil NZ Ltd, Z Energy Ltd - The Fuel Companies (S258)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Support		Supports Policy WH.P1, particularly the recognition in clause (a), that reduction in contaminant loading will be a progressive process.	Retain Policy WH.P1 as notified.		Accept in part
S258.007	BP Oil NZ Ltd, Mobil Oil NZ Ltd, Z Energy Ltd - The Fuel Companies (S258)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Support		Supports Policy WH.P2, particularly Clause (b), which only encourages redevelopment activities to reduce contaminant load. Considers this recognises that in some cases, best practice measures may already be in place such that further reduction may not be practicable, or appropriate in context of nature and scale of the particular redevelopment activity. Supports the network scale approach taken to reduction in contaminant loads in Clause (d)	Retain Policy WH.P2 as notified.		Reject
	BP Oil NZ Ltd, Mobil Oil NZ Ltd, Z Energy Ltd - The Fuel Companies	FS46.041	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Waste Management disagrees that unplanned greenfield development should be prohibited as per Waste Management's primary submission.	Disallow	Retain Policy WH.P2 as notified.	Accept
S258.028	BP Oil NZ Ltd, Mobil Oil NZ Ltd, Z Energy			9 Te Awarua-o-	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic	Support		Supports Policy P.P1	Retain Policy P.P1 as notified.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Ltd - The Fuel Companies (S258)			Porirua Whaitua	ecosystem health.						
S258.029	BP Oil NZ Ltd, Mobil Oil NZ Ltd, Z Energy Ltd - The Fuel Companies (S258)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Support		Supports Policy P.P2	Retain Policy P.P2 as notified.		Reject
S260.004	Cannon Point Development Limited (Ltd.) (S260)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Considers PC1 objectives do not warrant the prohibition of unplanned greenfield development as it would foreclose any opportunity to manage effects to achieve Target Attribute States and coastal water objectives. Suggests an effects management approach would better allow for the competing directives of the NPS-FW and NPS-UD to be resolved.	Amend as follows if definition of Unplanned Greenfield Development is not deleted: “(a) Encourage prohibiting unplanned and other greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the to minimise contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and”		Accept in part
	Cannon Point Development Limited (Ltd.)	FS23.007	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S261.062	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Seeks explicit provision for natural form and character. Seeks inclusion of direction that “enhancement” of flows should be through limits and natural means, rather than “stream augmentation” or managed aquifer recharge.	Amend (b) to read "restoring habitats and natural form and character" Amend (c) to include "by setting limits and reducing allocation volumes in over-allocated catchments, and by restoring natural form and character to promote natural aquifer recharge" Retain balance of policy. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS25.033	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose in part	The need for the additional wording 'natural form and character' and the requirement to restore natural form and character is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Policy WH.P1 as notified, subject to the amendment sought by GTC in their original submission	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
			Goodwin Estate Trust.								
	Forest & Bird	FS26.017	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose in part	The need for the additional wording 'natural form and character' and the requirement to restore natural form and character is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Policy WH.P1 as notified, subject to the amendment sought by the Mansell's in their original submission	Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.389	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS20.023	Transpower New Zealand Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose in part	Refer to Transpower's submission on objective WH.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include references to natural form and character in policy WH.P1.	Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS27.681	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS31.016	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	While WIAL supports the intent of the submission that PC1 should give effect to the NPSFM, it is imperative to ensure that in achieving this outcome, all elements of the NPSFM are appropriately recognised and provided for, including the specific policy approach for specified infrastructure.	Disallow	To give effect to NPSFM. Seeks explicit provision for natural form and character.	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS39.024	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	This term is broadly used but poorly understood, making it unclear what measures are needed to achieve the desired outcome	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS39.041	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS10.30	Enviro NZ Services Ltd (Enviro NZ)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose in part	Restoring natural and character while ideal may not always be practicable, depending on existing urban development.	Disallow in part	Delete restoring natural form and character	Accept in part
S261.063	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Supports prohibition of unplanned greenfield development, however opposes clause (a). Considers financial contributions as compensatory measures for stormwater contamination contrary to RMA s107, the NZCPS, and the effects management hierarchy under the NPSM. Considers financial contributions are not an “offset”. Considers livestock should be excluded from ephemeral watercourses, estuaries and wetlands, as they have high ecological value. Considers additional direction is required to give clear scope for managing rural land uses.	Amend (a): prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants so that adverse effects are avoided and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants Amend clause (e) so that it refers to ephemeral watercourses, wetlands and estuaries. Add clause: (i) land use intensification that individually or cumulatively may lead to a decline in water quality is prohibited Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS1.035	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Prohibiting land use intensification may inadvertently prohibit vegetable crop rotation, in which land use rotates through pastoral and vegetable cropping phases for soil health and biosecurity management. Prohibiting changes in land use from pastoral to horticulture would be an adverse outcome for regional food security and emissions reduction.	Disallow	Disallow. Amend (a) as follows: prohibiting unplanned urban greenfield development and for other urban greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS25.034	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	The need to prohibit and avoid adverse effects of unplanned greenfield development; include ephemeral watercourses; and prohibiting land use intensification that individually or collectively may lead to a decline in water quality is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow	Retain the wording of Policy WH.P2 as notified, subject to the amendment sought by GTC in their original submission	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS26.018	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	The need to prohibit and avoid adverse effects of unplanned greenfield development; include ephemeral watercourses; and prohibiting land use intensification that individually or collectively may lead to a decline in water quality is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow	Retain the wording of Policy WH.P2 as notified, subject to the amendment sought by the Mansell's in their original submission	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.390	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS20.024	Transpower New Zealand Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose in part	With respect to the amendments requested to clause (a), Transpower opposes these on the basis that they are inconsistent with the decision requested by Transpower on clause (a). With respect of the amendments requested to clause (e), Transpower opposes references to ephemeral watercourses in the policy on the basis that they are typically not mapped and, in practice, difficult to clearly define. Further, it is unclear how the health of ephemeral watercourses would be measured in practice given that they only convey or retain water during or immediately after rainfall events. This introduces significant uncertainty into the scope and spatial application of the policy. With respect to the new clause (i) requested, Transpower opposes this on the basis that the phrase “may lead to a decline in water quality” is highly uncertain and potentially open-ended in terms of the range of land use activities that it may apply to.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the amendments requested to clause (a) of policy WH.P2. Transpower opposes the request to refer to ephemeral watercourses in clause (e) of policy WH.P2. Transpower opposes the request to include a new clause: “(i) land use intensification that individually or cumulatively may lead to a decline in water quality is prohibited”.	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.682	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					coastal water objectives.			surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS41.001	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the	8 Whaitua Te	Policy WH.P2 Management		Oppose in part	Ara Poutama opposes the amendments requested to clause	Disallow in part	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
			Department of Corrections	Whanganui-a-Tara	of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.			(a) of policy WH.P2.Ara Poutama opposes the request to include a new clause: “(i) land use intensification that individually or cumulatively may lead to a decline in water quality is prohibited”. With respect to the amendments requested to clause (a), Ara Poutama opposes these on the basis that they are inconsistent with the decision requested by Ara Poutama on clause (a). With respect to the new clause (i) requested, Ara Poutama opposes this on the basis that the phrase “may lead to a decline in water quality” is uncertain in terms of the range of land use activities that it may apply to.			
	Forest & Bird	FS31.024	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	The proposed amendments are too vague and do not qualify the nature of the effects that are to be avoided (i.e. adverse effects on what) or their significance (i.e. all adverse effects, regardless of their scale).The proposed new (i) does not recognise that water quality improvements need to be achieved on a catchment wide basis. As drafted, fully compliant discharges would effectively be prohibited which is inappropriate.	Disallow	Amend (a) as follows: ... prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants so that adverse effects are avoided and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants Amend clause (e) so that it refers to ephemeral watercourses, wetlands and estuaries. Add clause (i) land use intensification that individually or cumulatively may lead to a decline in water quality is prohibited. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS39.072	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Avoidance is too onerous a requirement. It can have unexpected and undesirable outcomes when coupled with non-complying activity status.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS46.040	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	The submitter's proposed amendment does not recognize that in some instances water quality can be maintained (or enhanced) by mitigation measures and some level of residual effect may be acceptable in some cases. The use of "avoid" is a very high test and would be overly onerous. As per Waste Management's primary submission the approach to prohibiting activities is not supported.	Disallow	Relief sought: Amend (a): prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants so that adverse effects are avoided and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants Amend clause (e) so that it refers to ephemeral watercourses, wetlands and estuaries. Add clause:(i) land use intensification that individually or cumulatively may lead to a decline in water quality is prohibited. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.	Reject
S261.065	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Support		Supports meeting NPSFM direction	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.392	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.684	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.066	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.	Amend		Notes the NPSM requires interim timeframes for Target Attribute States of no more than 10 years.	Shorten timeframes to 2030 or provide interim goals Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.393	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS27.685	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.063	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S261.141	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Seeks explicit provision for natural form and character. Seeks inclusion of direction that "enhancement" of flows should be through limits and natural means, rather than "stream augmentation" or managed aquifer recharge.	Amend (b) to read "restoring habitats and natural form and character" Amend (c) to include "by setting limits and reducing allocation volumes in over-allocated catchments, and by restoring natural form and character to promote natural aquifer recharge" Retain balance of policy. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS26.028	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose in part	The need for the additional wording 'natural form and character' and the requirement to restore natural form and character is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Policy P.P1 as notified, subject to the amendment sought by the Mansell's in their original submission	Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.468	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS20.038	Transpower New Zealand Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose in part	Refer to Transpower's submission on objective P.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include references to natural form and character in policy P.P1.	Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS27.760	Manor Park and Haywards	9 Te Awarua-o-	Policy P.P1: Improvement		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
			Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	Porirua Whaitua	of aquatic ecosystem health.			degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this			

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.028	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	This term is broadly used but poorly understood, making it unclear what measures are needed to achieve the desired outcome	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS39.045	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S261.142	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Supports prohibition of unplanned greenfield development, however opposes clause (a). Considers financial contributions as compensatory measures for stormwater contamination contrary to RMA s107, the NZCPS, and the effects management hierarchy under the NPSM. Considers financial contributions are not an “offset”. Considers livestock should be excluded from ephemeral watercourses, estuaries and wetlands, as they have high ecological value. Considers additional direction is required to give clear scope for managing rural land uses.	Amend (a): prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants so that adverse effects are avoided and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants Amend clause (e) so that it refers to ephemeral watercourses, wetlands and estuaries. Add clause: (i) land use intensification that individually or cumulatively may lead to a decline in water quality is prohibited Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS1.060	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Prohibiting land use intensification may inadvertently prohibit vegetable crop rotation, in which land use rotates through pastoral and vegetable cropping phases for soil health and biosecurity management. Prohibiting changes in land use from pastoral to horticulture would be an adverse outcome for regional food security and emissions reduction.	Disallow	Amend (a) as follows: prohibiting unplanned urban greenfield development and for other urban greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS26.029	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	The need to prohibit and avoid adverse effects of unplanned greenfield development; include ephemeral watercourses; and prohibiting land use intensification that individually or collectively may lead to a decline in water quality is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow	Retain the wording of Policy P.P2 as notified, subject to the amendment sought by the Mansell's in their original submission	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS9.469	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS20.039	Transpower New Zealand Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose in part	With respect to the amendments requested to clause (a), Transpower opposes these on the basis that they are inconsistent with the decision requested by Transpower on clause (a). With respect of the amendments requested to clause (e), Transpower opposes references to ephemeral watercourses in the policy on the basis that they are typically not mapped and, in practice, difficult to clearly define. Further, it is unclear how the health of ephemeral watercourses would be measured in practice given that they only convey or retain water during or immediately after rainfall events. This introduces significant uncertainty into the scope and spatial application of the policy. With respect to the new clause (i) requested, Transpower opposes this on the basis that the phrase “may lead to a decline in water quality” is highly uncertain and potentially open-ended in terms of the range of land use activities that it may apply to.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the amendments requested to clause (a) of policy P.P2. Transpower opposes the request to refer to ephemeral watercourses in clause (e) of policy P.P2. Transpower opposes the request to include a new clause: “(i) land use intensification that individually or cumulatively may lead to a decline in water quality is prohibited”.	Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS27.761	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					coastal water objectives.			surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS41.005	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the	9 Te Awarua-o-	Policy P.P2: Management		Oppose in part	Ara Poutama opposes the amendments requested to clause	Disallow in part	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
			Department of Corrections	Porirua Whaitua	of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.			(a) of policy P.P2. Ara Poutama opposes the request to include a new clause: “(i) land use intensification that individually or cumulatively may lead to a decline in water quality is prohibited”. With respect to the amendments requested to clause (a), Ara Poutama opposes these on the basis that they are inconsistent with the decision requested by Ara Poutama on clause (a). With respect to the new clause (i) requested, Ara Poutama opposes this on the basis that the phrase “may lead to a decline in water quality” is highly uncertain and potentially open-ended in terms of the range of land use activities that it may apply to.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.073	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Avoidance is too onerous a requirement. It can have unexpected and undesirable outcomes when coupled with non-complying activity status.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S261.144	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.	Amend		Considers interim targets or a shorter timeframe is required.	Set targets for 2030. If date remains 2040, set out interim states at no longer than 10-year intervals. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.471	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.763	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.067	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	<p>The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.</p>	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S261.145	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.	Amend		Considers interim targets or a shorter timeframe is required.	Set targets for 2030. If date remains 2040, set out interim states at no longer than 10-year intervals. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.472	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.764	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.068	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S261.146	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.	Amend		Considers timeframe is required	Include a timeframe	Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.	Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.473	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.765	Manor Park and Haywards	9 Te Awarua-o-	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
			Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	Porirua Whaitua	Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.			degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this			

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.069	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S262.013	Southern North Island Wood Council (S262)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Considers the rules of PC1 should not override the NES-CF. Seeks that Rules P.R19, P.R20, P.R21, WH.R20, WH.R21 and WH.R22 are deleted, including associated notes which state that rules prevail over the NES-PF. Objects to any other rules which would substitute those of the NES-PF. Objects to the inclusion of forestry activities in Policies WH.P2, P.P2, WH.P28 and P.P26. Seeks that replanting is not regulated in PC1.	Remove proposed forestry related changes, i.e. P.R19, P.R20 and P.R21, as well as Rules WH.R20, WH.R21 and WH.R22 and also the detailed notes that these new rules prevail over certain rules in the NES-PF. Object to any other substitution of rules in the NES-PF with new rules in the plan. Remove policies WH.P2, P.P2, WH.P28 and policy P.P26 as far as they relate to forestry. Seek that replanting will not to be regulated in the plan.		Accept in part
S262.018	Southern North Island Wood Council (S262)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Considers the rules of PC1 should not override the NES-CF. Seeks that Rules P.R19, P.R20, P.R21, WH.R20, WH.R21 and WH.R22 are deleted, including associated notes which state that rules prevail over the NES-PF. Objects to any other rules which would substitute those of the NES-PF. Objects to the inclusion of forestry activities in Policies WH.P2, P.P2, WH.P28 and P.P26. Seeks that replanting is not regulated in PC1.	Remove proposed forestry related changes, i.e. P.R19, P.R20 and P.R21, as well as Rules WH.R20, WH.R21 and WH.R22 and also the detailed notes that these new rules prevail over certain rules in the NES-PF. Object to any other substitution of rules in the NES-PF with new rules in the plan. Remove policies WH.P2, P.P2, WH.P28 and policy P.P26 as far as they relate to forestry. Seek that replanting will not to be regulated in the plan.		Accept in part
S275.011	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S275)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic	Amend		While NZTA supports the intent behind the reduction in contaminant loads proposed, it is unclear if and how the reduction can be sustained	Further consideration of the feasibility and costs of these targets. Any further alternative or		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					ecosystem health.			and further information should be provided before such targets are adopted. The Section 32 assessment states "...the economic costs to communities are likely to be significant due to infrastructure upgrade costs [when compared to 'status quo'] (page 162). It is also noted that cost assessments (page 151 and 152) focus on local authority costs, not NZTA costs which seem to have been omitted. The value of investment/forward planning which has already been made through the consent process under the Operative Plan is also not explicitly recognised in the section 32.	consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought.		
	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS23.705	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS39.104	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	WWL supports further consideration of the feasibility and costs of these targets.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S275.012	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S275)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		While NZTA supports the intent behind the reduction in contaminant loads proposed, it is unclear if and how the reduction can be sustained and further information should be provided before such targets are adopted. The Section 32 assessment states "...the economic costs to communities are likely to be significant due to infrastructure upgrade costs [when compared to 'status quo'] (page 162). It is also noted that cost assessments (page 151 and 152) focus on local authority costs, not NZTA costs which seem to have been omitted. The value of investment/forward planning which has already been made through the consent process under the Operative Plan is also not	Further consideration of the feasibility and costs of these targets. Any further alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								explicitly recognised in the section 32.			
	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS23.706	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS39.105	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	WWL supports further consideration of the feasibility and costs of these targets.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S275.013	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S275)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.	Amend		While NZTA supports the intent behind the reduction in contaminant loads proposed, it is unclear if and how the reduction can be sustained and further information should be provided before such targets are adopted. The Section 32 assessment states "...the economic costs to communities are likely to be significant due to infrastructure upgrade costs [when compared to 'status quo'] (page 162). It is also noted that cost assessments (page 151 and 152) focus on local authority costs, not NZTA costs which seem to have been omitted. The value of investment/forward planning which has already been made through the consent process under the Operative Plan is also not explicitly recognised in the section 32.	Further consideration of the feasibility and costs of these targets. Any further alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS23.707	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS39.106	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Support	WWL supports further consideration of the feasibility and costs of these targets.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S286.031	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Support		Supports progressive reduction of contaminants and restoration of habitats.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.028	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Retain objective provided: -Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and - regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.031	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui’s right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.032	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Concerned policy and provisions will impose significant costs and impact the ability of Taranaki Whānui whanau to develop their ancestral lands. Notes land not yet returned to Māori ownership through treaty settlements, includes many sites in areas mapped as “unplanned greenfield land” including rural and open space land. Considers prohibition on developing these lands inconsistent with principles of Te Tiriti and inconsistent with need to provide for broader housing affordability and innovation on both Māori and all other land. Considers planning processes need to be flexible to ensure aspirational outcomes are achieved. Seeks freshwater effects of development of these sites are addressed through a regional consent process rather than a regional plan change.	Amend policy: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives. Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for managing other greenfield developments by minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.029	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Amend policy: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives. Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for managing other greenfield developments by minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
										farm practices that impact on freshwater.	
	Taranaki Whānui	FS25.053	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Request represents good planning practice and addresses issue raised by submitter regarding the policy imposing costs and impacts on them developing their ancestral lands; amendment will ensure the purpose of the RMA achieved, including s.6 matters	Allow	Amendments to Clause (a) of Policy WH.P2 as sought by submitter	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.032	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS46.039	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	A prohibited activity status is not appropriate and will hinder flexibility in planning processes.	Allow	Considers planning processes need to be flexible to ensure aspirational outcomes are achieved. Relief sought: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for managing other greenfield developments by minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS48.011	Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Agree with the submitter that the prohibited status should be removed to improve the ability of Taranaki Whānui to develop their ancestral land.	Allow	Amend policy: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives. Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for managing other greenfield developments by minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and ...	Accept in part
S286.034	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.031	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Retain as notified	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.034	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.035	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.032	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Retain as notified	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.035	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S288.045	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Considers the term 'land use' in sub-clause (d) is more associated with rural or primary production land uses. Seeks the inclusion of urban land use as it is a major source of contaminants.	Clarify to include urban land use.		Reject
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.069	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).			
S288.046	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Regarding clause (f), notes the specified activities are already actively managed and seeks amendment to reflect management in accordance with established regulatory frameworks and good practice. Suggests similar amendments can be applied for clause (h), noting farm plans themselves are not actions that improve water quality, but are a means to describe good practice, regulations and actions to be applied to a site.	Amend clause (f) to reflect management of specified activities in accordance with established regulatory frameworks and good practice. Consider similar amendments for clause (h).		Accept in part
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.070	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S288.048	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Neutral		Does not disagree with the aggregated outcome reflected at the WQ monitoring site, however considers there is insufficient WQ monitoring in the wider sub-catchment to determine the primary cause of poor clarity. Therefore considers action to achieve the outcome may be mis-targeted.	Not stated		No recommendation
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.072	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S288.086	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Amend		Regarding (d), considers the term 'land use' is more associated with rural or primary production land uses. Seeks the inclusion of urban land use as it is a major source of contaminants.	Clarify to include urban land use.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.110	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S288.087	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Regarding sub-clause (f), notes the specified activities are already actively managed. Suggests similar amendments can be applied for clause (h), noting farm plans themselves are not actions that improve water quality, but are a means to describe good practice, regulations and actions to be applied to a site.	Amend clause (f) to reflect management of specified activities in accordance with established regulatory frameworks and good practice. Consider similar amendments for clause (h).		Accept in part
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.111	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S288.089	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.	Amend		Not stated	Clarify if land use includes urban land use.		No recommendation
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.113	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S33.034	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Support		Consider the policy is reasonable to achieve the improvements to ecosystem health progressively.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
S33.035	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target	Amend		Concerns regarding the prohibitive provisions framework and if it the most appropriate to achieve the objectives and policies of the NPS-	Amend as follows: Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					attribute states and coastal water objectives.			FM 2020. Considers the prohibited activity status is not demonstrated through the s32 report as the most appropriate option to achieve the objectives of the plan, and that a Discretionary Activity status is more appropriate. Notes that as per case law prohibited activity class should not be used to defer an evaluation of a particular activity until such time as a plan change is lodged to allow undertaking the activity in question. Considers the District Plan is the most appropriate tool to manage urban development as set out in s3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020. Recommends that for development connected to the local authority stormwater networks, GWRC sets out the reduction requirements in the s15 global stormwater discharge consent via the stormwater management strategy and Territorial Authorities then implement the regulatory aspects of the stormwater management strategy through land use consents in the District Plan.	activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, through stormwater management strategies and...		
	Wellington City Council	FS22.010	Cannon Point Development Limited (Ltd.)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	The submission is supported as it reflects the relief sought and reasons set out in Cannon Point Development Ltd.'s submission on this provision.	Allow	Support the submitter's concerns regarding the prohibitive provisions framework. Agree that the prohibited activity status is not demonstrated through the s32 report as the most appropriate option to achieve the objectives of the plan, and that a Discretionary Activity status is more appropriate. Agree that the District Plan is the most appropriate tool to manage urban development as set out in s3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020.	Accept in part
	Wellington City Council	FS31.017	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	WIAL supports the general trajectory of this amendment and agrees with the submitter that the section 32 evaluation does not demonstrate the prohibition of unplanned greenfield development as being the most appropriate to achieve the objectives of the plan.	Allow in part	Amend as follows: Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
										effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, through stormwater management strategies and...	
S33.037	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Accept in part
	Wellington City Council	FS39.240	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S33.038	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute states.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Accept in part
	Wellington City Council	FS39.241	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.5: Sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					attribute states.						
S33.082	Wellington City Council (S33)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P1: Improvement of aquatic ecosystem health.	Support		Support and consider the policy is reasonable to achieve the improvements to ecosystem health progressively.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S33.083	Wellington City Council (S33)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Concerns regarding the prohibitive provisions framework and if it the most appropriate to achieve the objectives and policies of the NPS-FM 2020. Concerned the policy will hinder the rezoning of land with inappropriate 'legacy' zoning , including sites that could be converted to housing, community facilities, education facilities and not expand the current urban boundary. Considers the prohibited activity status is not demonstrated through the s32 report as the most appropriate option to achieve the objectives of the plan, and that a Discretionary Activity status is more appropriate. Notes that as per case law prohibited activity class should not be used to defer an evaluation of a particular activity until such time as a plan change is lodged to allow undertaking the activity in question.	Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, through stormwater management strategies and...		Accept in part
S33.085	Wellington City Council (S33)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P4: Contaminant load reductions.	Support		Support the reduction of contaminants provided the timeframes are reasonable and practicable.	Retain as notified providing the proposed amendment for Table 9.3 is accepted.		Accept in part
S33.086	Wellington City Council (S33)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant load reductions.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Reject
	Wellington City Council	FS39.246	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.3: Harbour arm catchment contaminant		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					load reductions.			reduce discharges and contaminants.			
S33.087	Wellington City Council (S33)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Accept in part
	Wellington City Council	FS39.247	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.4: Part Freshwater Management Unit sediment load reductions required to achieve the visual clarity target attribute state.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S36.038	Wellington Branch of New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (S36)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P4: Achievement of the visual clarity target attribute states.	Not Stated		Considers the target for Mangaroa is based on inappropriate TAS, noting the clarity required is affected by naturally occurring input from a major peat swamp. Challenges the value for Wainuiomata urban stream/Black Creek, noting it may also be subject to Natural Brown Water.	Alter the TAS		Accept in part
S38.005	Summerset Group Holdings Limited (S38)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Opposes prohibiting unplanned greenfield growth. Concerned the activity status will provide no consenting pathway for proposals located in these areas that may have positive/better outcomes for the community, freshwater and intensive rural activities. Considers the prohibited activity status to be inappropriate in terms of effects management and unjustified by the Section 32 Evaluation which states that all contaminants can be mitigated with a combination of treatment and the use of financial contributions (refer paragraph 64 of Part C). Considers the prohibited	Amend policy: Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								activity status to be inconsistent with Policy 8 of the NPS-UD. Based on the S32 report, the submitter assumes the purpose of the prohibited activity status is to require both a regional and district plan change to enable greenfield development. Concerned the two plan changes will make it difficult for the market to be responsive to providing housing, be expensive and impact the economic viability of development. Concerned these impacts on housing supply have not been sufficiently assessed in the Section 32 Evaluation.	effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and (f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
	Summerset Group Holdings Limited	FS16.066	Woodridge Holdings Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Not stated	The use of a prohibited activity rule is a blunt instrument which conflicts with the NPS-UD and in particular Policy 8 and as such could prevent territorial authorities from meeting its ongoing requirements under the NPS-UD. This provision is likely to lead to unintended consequences. Prohibited activity status will affect the ability of territorial authorities to make strategic decisions on growth and create difficulties with minor changes to urban zoning. The prohibited status has not been reasonably justified, and that alternatives that could achieve the strategic intent of the rule without requiring a dual plan change process. The prohibited status removes a consenting pathway for proposals that may have positive outcomes for the community and for freshwater. As the s32 evaluation suggest that contaminants can be addressed through a combination of	Allow	Amend policy so that greenfield developments are not prohibited.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								treatment and financial contributions, prohibited activity status inappropriate. The requirement for two plan changes to enable greenfield development will create challenges for the private sector's responsiveness to the housing needs, is onerous and costly, and could jeopardise the economic viability of development and supply of affordable housing. The prohibition laden objective and policy framework (both in NRP and RPS) would render future plan changes an impossibility as they would likely be identified as being contrary to objectives and policies of the higher order planning framework set up by GW via PC1. GWRC should be considering each development individually, based on the merits and the impacts it has on the environment and any mitigation propose.			
	Summerset Group Holdings Limited	FS22.011	Cannon Point Development Limited (Ltd.)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	The submission is supported as it reflects the relief sought and reasons provided in Cannon Point Development Ltd.'s submission. It also raises valid concerns regarding the impact on housing supply which are shared by Cannon Point Development Ltd.	Allow	Support the amendment sought to encourage greenfield development to minimise contaminants, rather than prohibiting greenfield development. Agree that the activity status will provide no consenting pathway for proposals located in these areas that may have positive/better outcomes for the community, freshwater and intensive rural activities. Agree that the dual plan change process required will make it difficult for the market to be responsive to providing housing, be expensive and impact the economic viability of development. Share the submitters concern that these impacts on housing supply have not been sufficiently assessed in the Section 32 Evaluation	Accept in part
	Summerset Group Holdings Limited	FS46.055	Waste Management NZ Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support	Waste Management agrees that unplanned greenfield development should not be prohibited.	Allow	Delete clause (a).	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Summerset Group Holdings Limited	FS31.018	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.		Support in part	WIAL share the concerns of the submitter that the activity status that ensues from this policy provides no consenting pathway for proposals located in these areas that may have positive/better outcomes for the community and freshwater, nor has it been sufficiently justified in terms of section 32 of the RMA.	Allow in part	Amend policy as follows Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants; (b)	Accept in part
S38.020	Summerset Group Holdings Limited (S38)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P2: Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Opposes prohibiting unplanned greenfield growth. Concerned the activity status will provide no consenting pathway for proposals located in these areas that may have positive/better outcomes for the community, freshwater and intensive rural activities. Considers the prohibited activity status to be inaccurate, inappropriate and unjustified by the Section 32 Evaluation which states that all contaminants can be mitigated with a combination of treatment and the use of financial contributions (refer paragraph 64 of Part C). Considers the prohibited activity status to be inconsistent with Policy 8 of the NPS-UD. Based on the S32 report, the submitter assumes the purpose of the prohibited activity status is to require both a regional and district plan change to enable greenfield development. Concerned the two plan changes will make it difficult for the market to be responsive to providing housing, be expensive and impact the economic viability of development. Concerned these impacts on housing supply have not been sufficiently assessed in the Section 32 Evaluation.	Amend policy: Policy P.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives Target attribute states and coastal water objectives will be achieved by regulating discharges and land-use activities in the Plan, and non-regulatory methods, including Freshwater Action Plans, by: (a) prohibiting unplanned greenfield development and for other greenfield developments minimising the contaminants from greenfield developments and requiring financial contributions as to offset adverse effects from residual stormwater contaminants, and (b) encouraging redevelopment activities within existing urban areas to reduce the existing urban contaminant load, and (c) imposing hydrological controls on urban development and stormwater discharges to rivers, and (d) requiring a reduction in contaminant loads from urban wastewater and stormwater networks, and (e) stabilising stream banks by excluding livestock from waterbodies and planting riparian margins with indigenous vegetation, and		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Ecosystem Health and Water Quality policies – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									(f) requiring the active management of earthworks, forestry, cultivation, and vegetation clearance activities, and (g) soil conservation treatment, including revegetation with woody vegetation, of land with high erosion risk, and (h) requiring farm environment plans (including Freshwater Farm Plans) to improve farm practices that impact on freshwater.		
S45.008	Heather Blissett (S45)			4 Policies	4.6 Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai.	Not Stated		Submitter supports hydrology provision (a). Requests mauri be added to water quality provision (b). Wording proposed is "Improve the mauri of the water"	Requests mauri be added to water quality provision (b). Wording proposed is "Improve the mauri of the water"		Accept in part
S9.013	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P2 Management of activities to achieve target attribute states and coastal water objectives.	Amend		Notes other sections of PC1 do not drive total stock exclusion from all waterways, but instead apply practical assessments that allow for other methods.	Amend as follows: "excluding livestock from waterbodies" to "reducing livestock access to waterbodies".		Accept in part