

Please enter your details below:

1. Details of further submitter:

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>* Submitter Name: Full name, or Name of Organisation / Company</p> | Donald Keith Skerman |
| <p>Contact person for submission: (If different to above)</p> | |
| <p>Telephone no: (Not required)</p> | Optional |
| <p>* Address for service: (Email, or physical address) Please note, an <u>email address</u> is the preferred method</p> | donskerman@xtra.co.nz |
| <p>* I wish to be heard in support of my submission at a hearing</p> | No |
| <p>* I would consider presenting a joint case at the hearing with others who make a similar submission</p> | No |

2. Criteria applicable to Further Submitter:

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| <p>* Only certain people may make further submissions Please select the option that applies to you:</p> | |
| <p>A) I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or</p> | Yes |
| <p>B) I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has (for example, I am affected by the content of a submission); or</p> | Yes |
| <p>C) I am the local authority for the relevant area.</p> | No |
| <p>* Specify the reasoning behind why you qualify for either of these above options:</p> | I made a previous submission on this plan change |

3. For the further submitter to action

| |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Service of your further submission: Please note that any person making a further submission must serve a copy of that submission on the original submitter no later than five working days after the submission has been provided to Greater Wellington. Each submitter has an address for service available at: NRP PC1 Address for Service</p> <p>If you have made a further submission on a number of original submissions, then copies of your further submission will need to be served with each original submitter.</p> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

4. Disclosures:

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <p>If providing a submission on behalf of a company / organisation I confirm that I have authority to do so:</p> | Signature |
| <p>Date:</p> | Insert |

Public information:

Note that under the RMA all submissions and accompanying data must be made available for public inspection. To achieve that, Greater Wellington Regional Council will publish all Further Submissions and accompanying data on our website.

In providing a further submission on the Natural Resources Plan, Plan Change 1, you confirm that you have read and understood the below:

[Plan Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan Information Statement.](#)

You have the right to ask for a copy of any personal information we hold about you, and to ask for it to be corrected if you think it is wrong. Please contact us at privacy@gw.govt.nz.

5. Further Submission:

- The original submissions received have been summarised into submission points and collated into one summary table.

This document(s) is a Summary of Decisions Requested:

[NRP PC 1 - Summary of Decisions Requested – By Submitter](#)

[NRP PC 1 - Summary of Decisions Requested –By Provision](#)

- Further submitters can submit on multiple submission points (identified in the Summary of Decisions Requested above) within the following section. Please use additional pages if necessary.

- If you are providing suggested text amendments to a provision, please do so in the following format:

- Suggested added text, shown as **bolded text** format

- Suggested deleted text, shown as ~~striketrough~~ format

Please enter your Further Submission in the next worksheet. All of the original submitters and their associated submission points on the proposed change have been included so please place your comments in the corresponding cells.

If you have questions on how to use this submission form please email one of our friendly team at regionalplan@gw.govt.nz

| Natural Recourses Plan: Chapter | Natural Recourses Plan: Provision | Original Submitter Name, and Number | Submission point Number | Stance* | Decision Sought * | Decision Sought "The decision I would like the Council to make on this submission point is..." | Reason for feedback: |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Filter original submission point by the chapter location | Filter original submission point by specific provision title | Filter by the original submitter Name and associated Submitter Number | Unique identifying number allocated to each specific submission point | Support Oppose Support in part Oppose in part Not stated | Allow Disallow Allow in part Disallow in part | Illustrate which aspects of this original submission that you support or oppose. Please identify which part(s) (if not the whole submission point) of the original submission point that this further submission is in reference to. | Please provide a summary of the reasons why you support or oppose this original submission to help us understand your position. |
| General comments | Unplanned greenfield development | S210 - Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. | S210.001 | Oppose | Disallow | Retain this land as 'unplanned greenfield areas' | Any residential development on this land needs to be properly assessed. The Upper Hutt City Council website gives the following answer to a Frequently Asked Question regarding the Southern Growth Area "If the land is planned to be developed what is the processes that must be followed?" Answer "Any future residential development of GTC land and the Silverstream Spur for the construction of a road/infrastructure corridor would require either Council or GTC to initiate a Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act. The plan change process requires public consultation whereby the public would have an opportunity to submit and present their views at a hearing. It would be premature for the Council to consult with the public now on any plan change because Council and GTC have not yet initiated this work, and no formal proposal has been received." and to the question "Will a resource consent be required for any planned development on the Spur and GTC land and will that be open to public consultation?" Answer "If and when a Resource Consent application is lodged, the Council will follow the process mandated under the Resource Management Act, and under that process public notification must be formally considered and determined. " |

| Natural Recourses Plan: Chapter | Natural Recourses Plan: Provision | Original Submitter Name, and Number | Submission point Number | Stance* | Decision Sought * | Decision Sought "The decision I would like the Council to make on this submission point is..." | Reason for feedback: |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | Ref https://www.upperhuttcity.com/Home/Tab/New-page/Your-Council/Plans-policies-bylaws-and-reports/Upper-Hutt-Southern-Growth-Area (visited 25/2/2024) |
| General comments | Unplanned greenfield development | S210 - Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. | S210.002 | Oppose | Disallow | Retain requirements for 'unplanned greenfield areas' and 'unplanned greenfield development' | Management of storm water should be the responsibility of the developer |
| General comments | Unplanned greenfield development | S210 - Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. | S210.005 | Oppose | Disallow | Retain this land as 'unplanned greenfield areas' | Please refer to reasons given for opposing S210.001 as well as the following. This land is not close to public transport and would cause a significant increase in motor vehicle congestion around the Silverstream and Pinehaven areas. The Silverstream bridge is not the bottle-neck for traffic accessing Fergusson Drive to drive to employment, schools or shops. Parking around the railway station and Silverstream shops is already at capacity. |
| Chapter 8 | Rule WH.R7: Stormwater from new and redeveloped impervious surfaces of existing urbanised areas – controlled activity. | S225 - Upper Hutt City Council | S225.099 | Oppose | Disallow | Retain financial contributions | Developers of 'unplanned greenfield areas' should be responsible for these costs, not ratepayers |

| Natural Recourses Plan: Chapter | Natural Recourses Plan: Provision | Original Submitter Name, and Number | Submission point Number | Stance* | Decision Sought * | Decision Sought "The decision I would like the Council to make on this submission point is..." | Reason for feedback: |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| General comments | General comments - water quality improvements | S282 - Pat van Berkel | S282.002 | Support | Allow | Add a requirement in the NRP that water quality improvement (through pipe network repairs, etc) be staged and that the timeline be published and updated each year. | Repairs are needed to wastewater infrastructure and progress needs to be visible to the public |
| General comments | General comments - target attribute states | S282 - Pat van Berkel | S282.003 | Support | Allow | Retain the TAS (Target Attribute State) for e-coli to reach high quality by 2040. | A more ambitious goal should be achievable |
| Chapter 8 | Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact. | S282 - Pat van Berkel | S282.010 | Support | Allow | Quantify permitted levels of benthic cyanobacteria. Amend to include the risk to dogs. | "Low risk" needs to be quantified A lot of human users of the river bring their dogs with them. |
| Chapter 8 | Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers. | S282 - Pat van Berkel | S282.011 | Support | Allow | Add a Parameter in Table 8.3, namely "Swimmable Days". Add a Parameter in Table 8.3 which is a measure of benthic cyanobacteria or cyanobacteria blooms. Specify improvements at all locations by 2040 | The number of swimmable days would be a good easy to understand measure of improvement in water quality. Improvements in water quality should be achievable by 2040 |
| Chapter 8 | Rule WH.R33: Take and use of water in the Whaitua Te Whanganui-a Tara – restricted discretionary activity. | S282 - Pat van Berkel | S282.018 | Support | Allow | Add to these rules the gradual changes in minimum flow that are required between 2021 (when the recommendation was accepted by Greater Wellington) and 2071 | At present the minimum flow of Te Awa Kairangi is at 30% of MALF. |
| Chapter 8 | Rule WH.R34: Take and use of water in the Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara – discretionary activity. | S282 - Pat van Berkel | S282.019 | Support | Allow | Add to these rules the gradual changes in minimum flow that are required between 2021 (when the recommendation was accepted by Greater Wellington) and 2071 | At present the minimum flow of Te Awa Kairangi is at 30% of MALF. |

| Natural Recourses Plan: Chapter | Natural Recourses Plan: Provision | Original Submitter Name, and Number | Submission point Number | Stance* | Decision Sought * | Decision Sought "The decision I would like the Council to make on this submission point is..." | Reason for feedback: |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Chapter 13 | Map 85: Primary contact sites – Te Whanganui-a-Tara. | S282 - Pat van Berkel | S282.020 | Support | Allow | Add site "Whakatikei River at Hutt Confluence" to Map 85 (and to a text list of the definition of Primary contact sites.) | This is a popular swimming location |
| Chapter 13 | Map 88: Unplanned greenfield areas – Upper Hutt City Council. | S282 - Pat van Berkel | S282.021 | Support | Allow | Retain southern growth area as unplanned greenfield development | Refer to my reasons for opposing S210.001 |