

Ainslee Brown

From: Ainslee Brown
Sent: Thursday, 24 October 2019 6:07 PM
To: Ainslee Brown
Subject: Catherine Hamilton comments Part 1 response s92 and s95E RMA 30-07-2019_17-58

From: Hamilton, Catherine <Catherine.Hamilton@wsp.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 30 July 2019 5:58 PM
To: Shannon Watson <Shannon.Watson@gw.govt.nz>
Subject: RE: Eastern Bays Shared Path project: Further Information Request (s92) and s95E RMA - WGN190301 & RM190124

Hello Shannon,

Please see my comments on the Section 92 response with regard to recreation assessment:

- A. The response confirms that independent safety audits have been undertaken and there are no safety concerns raised from the narrowing to 2.5m wide and the transitions on bends. This is taken as read.
- B. The response states that 2.5m is considered to be adequate for the predicted use. However, the recreation assessment recommends that “a 3.5m width path is recommended as the minimum standard for the Eastern Bays Shared Path” – this is in line with research and case studies. The reduction to 2.5m is acknowledged in the recreation assessment as a compromise taking account of beach encroachment and effects on coastal ecology. It is still not clear what the effects are likely to be on recreation amenity (use and enjoyment) as the response focuses on safety.
- C. The use of signage to ask people to not block the narrowed paths is not a positive solution. The issues should be dealt with through the design stage and not as a reaction.
- D. Refuges need to be intentionally designed to allow for stopping and congregating at regular intervals – considering both distribution and scale. The design shows refuges as opportunistic rather than intentional; for instance, the landing at the top of a narrow set of steps is not a true refuge. Recommend these need to be intentionally provided for at the next stage of design.
- E. The response deals with crowding from a safety point of view. The recommendation for barriers again is a matter of safety. This matter of recreation amenity and enjoyment in small spaces such as Sorrento Bay is not adequately answered.

Regards

Catherine

From: Hamilton, Catherine

Sent: Tuesday, 30 July 2019 1:28 PM

To: Shannon Watson <Shannon.Watson@gw.govt.nz>

Subject: RE: Eastern Bays Shared Path project: Further Information Request (s92) and s95E RMA - WGN190301 & RM190124

Hi Shannon,

Just confirming I will respond by c.o.b today.

Regards

Catherine

From: Shannon Watson <Shannon.Watson@gw.govt.nz>

Sent: Monday, 22 July 2019 5:09 PM

To: Roger Uys <Roger.Uys@gw.govt.nz>; Hamilton, Catherine <Catherine.Hamilton@wsp.com>; Head, Jeremy <Jeremy.Head@wsp.com>; Iain Dawe <Iain.Dawe@gw.govt.nz>; Evan Harrison <Evan.Harrison@gw.govt.nz>

Cc: Megan Oliver <Megan.Oliver@gw.govt.nz>; Jo Frances <Jo.Frances@gw.govt.nz>; Parvati Rotherham <Parvati.Rotherham@huttcity.govt.nz>; Sharyn Westlake <Sharyn.Westlake@gw.govt.nz>; Angus Gray <agray@doc.govt.nz>

Subject: FW: Eastern Bays Shared Path project: Further Information Request (s92) and s95E RMA - WGN190301 & RM190124

Hi all

The first part of the Eastern Bays Shared Path request for further information has come in for consideration while the more complicated matters (seagrass and effects on penguins) are being worked through. The consent will remain on hold under s92 of the Resource Management Act until ALL of the information has been provided and confirmed as appropriately addressing the information requested.

Megan – your concerns will be addressed in the second part of the further information response expected early August.

Everyone else - Can you please review the attached response and confirm whether or not the concerns you identified in your original assessments have been addressed by the applicant (I have attached the s92 request for ease of reference). If they have not been addressed can you please advise:

- Any areas of concern that have been addressed by the response
- Any areas of concern not appropriately addressed by the response
- what further information you require for remaining concerns to be 'closed out' and the format in which you would like this information to be provided

It would be appreciated if you could review the final response and provide any comments back to me within **5 working days (30 July 2019)**. Please let me know ASAP if you are not able to meet this timeframe.

Please give me a call if you have any questions.

Shannon Watson | Kaitohutohu / Resource Advisor, Environmental Regulation

GREATER WELLINGTON REGIONAL COUNCIL

Te Pane Matua Taiao

Shed 39, 2 Fryatt Quay, Pipitea, Wellington 6011 | PO Box 11646, Manners St, Wellington 6142

T: 04 830 4461

From: Van Halderen, Caroline <Caroline.VanHalderen@stantec.com>

Sent: Monday, 22 July 2019 11:45 AM

To: Shannon Watson <Shannon.Watson@gw.govt.nz>; Dan Kellow <Dan.Kellow@huttcity.govt.nz>

Cc: Povall, Jamie <Jamie.Povall@stantec.com>; Simon Cager <Simon.Cager@huttcity.govt.nz>

Subject: Eastern Bays Shared Path project: Further Information Request (s92) and s95E RMA - WGN190301 & RM190124

Hi Shannon and Dan

I refer to your letter dated 29 May 2019 requesting further information under section 92(1) from both Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC) and Hutt City Council (HCC) and for an additional request for affected party approval under s95E from GWRC.

Please find the attached memorandum outlining our responses to the requested information under the headings that are set out in your letter. Where necessary we have added more detail under a series of annexures attached to the memorandum.

Please note that further investigations are currently being undertaken on shoreline foragers, penguins and seagrass. We are planning to get a response to you early in August.

I have also attached a written approval form from CentrePort as requested. HCC Parks will provide comments during the internal feedback process. We have yet to receive written approval from Mr and Mrs Thomas and will follow up with them again.

Ngā Mihi | Kind regards,

Caroline van Halderen

B Town and Regional Planning, MNZPI
Senior Planner

Direct: +64 4 381 5716

Mobile: +64 277742409

Stantec New Zealand
Level 13, 80 The Terrace
Wellington, 6011 New Zealand



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

 Please consider the environment before printing this email.

ATTENTION: This correspondence is confidential and intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you are not the named recipient and receive this correspondence in error, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it and you should delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Unless otherwise stated, any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author, and do not represent those of the organisation.

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or otherwise subject to restricted disclosure under applicable law. This message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on, this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized or intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.

-LAEmHhHzdJzBITWfa4Hgs7pbKI