

## FILE NOTE

DATE 26/01/2015

AUTHOR Alistair J N Allan

SUBJECT Pinehaven Audit Terms of Reference - Meeting with members of communities within Pinehaven and Silverstream

FILE NUMBER N/03/23/03-v1

---

### 1. Overview

A meeting was held at the Pinehaven community hall on Friday 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2015 to discuss and develop the terms of reference for the audit of the Pinehaven Stream flood hazard mapping and modelling. This meeting included invited representatives of the communities of Pinehaven and Silverstream, elected representatives of the local and regional community and officers from both Upper Hutt City Council (UHCC) and Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC).

### 2. Attendees

#### **GWRC Councillors;**

- Prue Lamason – Chair of Hutt Valley Flood Management Subcommittee
- Paul Swain – GWRC Councillor for Upper Hutt

#### **UHCC Councillors;**

- Wayne Guppy – Mayor of Upper Hutt

#### **Pinehaven Progressive Association;**

- David Brown – President of the PPA
- Chris Coslett – Vice President of the PPA

#### **Save our Hills;**

- Darryl Longstaffe
- Stephen Pattinson

#### **Reformed Church of Silverstream;**

- Ian van der Muelen – Chairman of the Committee of Administration

- Geoff Doyle – Board Member of Silverstream Christian School

#### **Sunbrae Drive Resident;**

- Lloyd May – Member of a number of community groups and resident and property owner within a flood prone area of the catchment.

#### **Upper Hutt City Council;**

- Lachlan Wallach – Director Infrastructure Services
- Richard Harbord – Director Planning

#### **Greater Wellington Regional Council**

- Alistair Allan – Pinehaven FMP Project Manager
- Graeme Campbell – Manager Flood Protection

### **3. Notes from meeting**

#### **3.1 PPA comments**

- Attended to support the Pinehaven Community
- The PPA recognises that some of its members have concerns about the flood mapping work carried out, and that it supports the audit. It also recognises that some of its members are affected by the flooding and have an interest in the flood protection works being carried out as soon as possible.
- The PPA would like to see a clear process for signing off the audit and agreeing on an outcome
- Want to see things move along as quickly as possible
- Agree with the Draft TOR

#### **3.2 Lloyd May Comments**

- Re-iterates what was said by the PPA, would like to see a clear process for the audit
- Happy with the Draft TOR

#### **3.3 Reformed Church of Silverstream Comments**

- Don't have an issue with the process to date
- Will work positively with both councils to implement the works
- Are keen to see processes and procedures followed
- See that their property affects the flooding in the area
- Have concerns about the impact on their property from the flooding but also from the works to address the flooding
- Can't see anything wrong with the work to date

- Are aware that the manse house was affected by the 1976 floods
- Have no concerns with the terms of reference as they stand

### 3.4 Save Our Hills Comments

- Do not believe that the current terms of reference are adequate
- Are concerned that their requests for the details of other community groups were not supplied
- Are concerned that the details of all submissions were not made public and have been put on hold
- A written submission was made by the Save Our Hills group. This is included as an attachment.

## 4. Agreed actions

- Case studies and other information supplied by Save Our Hills will be supplied to auditors. *Full copies of the case studies carried out by Mr Pattinson were requested at the meeting, however Mr Pattinson refused to provide them. The current information held on file by GWRC only includes a summary of Mr Pattinsons case studies and no detail of the method used to generate the numbers.*
- Guidance on setting storm water neutrality provisions will be sought from the auditors as part of an additional audit work package.
- An additional task will be added to the TOR asking the auditor to look at the work undertaken to define the effect of the intensification of development of the runoff from the hills and to undertake further work if necessary to ensure it is adequately understood.
- Notes and process for audit will be circulated to attendees.

## 5. Process for audit

A draft programme for the audit is set out below. Once the auditor is appointed a final programme will be agreed.

Date	Event
17 <sup>th</sup> February	Council reports published (reports will include amended terms of reference and recommended auditor appointment)
24 <sup>th</sup> February	Amended terms of reference and preferred auditor will be reported to HVFMSc for approval
March	Auditor appointed
April to May	Audit carried out

May	Audit received and reviewed by councils
May to June	Actions required following audit findings will be carried out, and if necessary amendments made to FMP
June	Further submissions on the draft FMP will be sought. Existing submitters will be asked if their submission remains unchanged or whether they would like to amend their submission
July	Submissions on the draft FMP will be considered.
August	FMP will be reported to Hutt Valley Flood Management Subcommittee for adoption

**Alistair J N Allan**  
Senior Projects Engineer  
Flood Protection

DD: 04 830 4084  
alistair.allan@gw.govt.nz