

# Attachment 1: Tabulated summary of submissions

No.	Name	Process	Road impact	Wind farm	Decision sought
1	Ken McAdam	Complaint that despite the significant consultation and decision in 2009 to remove windfarm provisions from the Battle Hill Farm Forest Management Plan, the current plan has included windfarm access. No decisions should be made until the Porirua District Council's Plan Change 7	Wind turbine roading has much more impact than that for forestry purposes. This would have a significant visual impact.	Allowing blade flyover means that the associated impacts from wind turbines exists (e.g. visual, noise, light flicker)	Prohibit wind farm access. Prohibit wind turbine blade flyover. Cease consultation until PCC Plan Change 7 is finalised
2	Peter and Melanie Neal			Windfarm is for commercial reasons and inconsistent with stewardship of land.	Oppose access rights or any 'commerical activity'. Oppose 'ancillary' activities.
3	Dr Philip Dickenson		Windfarm access will required widening and straightening roads, introducing heavy traffic - not suitable for a regional park	Wind turbines will cause bird and bat mortalities.	Council should refuse any industrial development
4	Chris Blunden	Notes process from 2008 - 20011		Windfarm is for commercial reasons and inconsistent with stewardship of land.	Protect amenity values and oppose access rights
5	Rob Taylor		Concern about closures in the park and restrictions on access (cites Makara)	Wind farming is not economical and detrimental to the environment. Proposal is not compatible with values of the park	Windfarm should be withdrawn
6	Diane Strugnell	GWRC has a conflict of interest to determine policies because of contractual agreement.	Asserts that the proposed road is consistent with other 'prohibited activities' in the plan (cites P. 124; 7.5.1). Restrictions on public access. Inclusion of ancillary underground services, also inconsistent and sets dangerous precedent. Road is inconsistent with all other statements in the plan (e.g. vision, guiding principles, general management outcomes and policies and the key characteristics of the park). Would have negative environmental effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated satisfactorily.		Reinstate policies and intent from the 2009 Plan into the current plan (and thus remove all reference to wind farm development)
7	Lynda Hoyle	Complaint that despite the significant consultation and decision in 2009 to remove windfarm provisions from the Battle Hill Farm Forest Management Plan, the current plan has included windfarm access. Majority of submissions have been against industrialisation of Battle Hill by allowing wind farm activities and access. GW acted	Scale and size of the road is contrary to guiding principles and various other policies. Refers to West Wind Makara to see size and scale of roads and the environmental effects. Cites West Wind as an example where access was denied after construction completing.	Opposes any form on industrialisation. Considers wind energy ineffective in meeting our energy requirements. Adverse effects far outweigh the benefits of allowing regional parks to be used for commerical industrial purposes.	Reinstate policies and intent from the 2009 Plan into the current plan (and thus remove all reference to wind farm development)
8	J Chris Horne		Adverse impacts on environment (especially resulting from earthworks and consequent flow into Pauatahanui Inlet) and the rural amenity are unacceptable. Does not support installation of ancillary underground services or 'possible power transmission line'.	Wind farm proposal would result in irreversible damage to the values for which the park was established.	Not to allow construction of a road across Battle Hill or ancillary underground services.
9	Roger and Pauline Procter		Construction of a road will change the character of the park, increase erosion/sedimentation risks and restrict access.	Opposes any windfarm. Puketiro wind farm introduces a commercial interest into an area designated for recreational purposes. Believes that the development is inconsistent with the recreational and environmental values of the park.	Not to allow any development in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park that would provide for a wind farm
10	Judith de Wilde	Complaint that despite the significant consultation and decision in 2009 to remove windfarm provisions from the Battle Hill Farm Forest Management Plan, the current plan has included windfarm access.	Road and underground services is inconsistent with the plan (principles and the key characteristics of the park). Logging road already exists and a further road or combined road is not needed.		Reinstate policies and intent from the 2009 Plan into the current plan (and thus remove all reference to wind farm development). Outlines specific clauses to delete.

Attachment 1: Tabulated summary of submissions

No.	Name	Process	Road impact	Wind farm	Decision sought
11	Mark Phillips	Appears wind farm access road and underground services are being granted because of contractual obligations. GW acted with predetermination and bias.			Reinstate policies and intent from the 2009 Plan into the current plan (and thus remove all reference to wind farm development)
12	Ron and Tricia Segal	Complaint that despite the significant consultation and decision in 2009 to remove windfarm provisions from the Battle Hill Farm Forest Management Plan, the current plan has included windfarm access.	Activity does not support the parks purpose and values. Will have an adverse impact on recreational amenity and park values. Oppose effective disposal of a part of the park for an access road and underground services.		Do not support creation of a wind farm access road in the park.
13	Jennifer Hutson (Moonshine Valley Residents Assn Inc)	Disappointed that the roading was not removed when plan adopted by Council in 2010.	Road access through Battle Hill allows development of turbines towards residents' homes.	For health and safety, large scale wind turbines must not be located close to residential homes. Provision of wind farm development in the park is contrary to the principles outlined in the plan	Prohibit access roading and associated works in the park
14	Tara Cooper (Preserve Pauatahanui Society)	Complaint that despite the significant consultation and decision in 2009 to remove windfarm provisions from the Battle Hill Farm Forest Management Plan, the current plan has included windfarm access.	Wind farm access road and ancillary underground services conflicts with the values identified for the park. Eastern Hills should be protected. Road likely to have significant negative impact to landscape, terrain and soil management. Roading requires substantial earthworks and clearing of vegetation. Would introduce heavy traffic, noise and restricted access to the park (not only in construction phase). Cites West Wind	Enabling such commercial activities is inconsistent with the values, principles and policies.	Reinstate policies and intent from the 2009 Plan into the current plan (and thus remove all reference to wind farm development)
15	Pete Jenkins	Complaint that despite the significant consultation and decision in 2009 to remove windfarm provisions from the Battle Hill Farm Forest Management Plan, the current plan has included windfarm access.		Reserves and parks are for the enjoyment and recreation of the public, not commercial activities	Does not specify, but clearly opposes the proposal
16	Sandra and Wayne Estment	GW placed greater value on a commercial venture.	Wind farm access road and ancillary underground services will compromise the park and damage the landscape.		Do not support creation of a wind farm access road in the park.
17	Allan Bloomfield	Appears wind farm access road and underground services are being granted because of contractual obligations. Would like to see a judicial process rather than independent sub-committee.	Providing wind farm access is contrary to stewardship of the park.		Delete all references providing access via road construction. Delete provision for erection of power lines above or below.
18	Nicky Chapman (The Pauatahanui Futures Society, and Pauatahanui Residents Association)	Unreasonableness of multiple rounds of consultation beginning in 2006 on the Battle Hill plan and subsequently the Parks Network Plan. Council's intention to revisit the decision made in 2009 is unreasonable. Appear the council is locked in a contractual arrangement and that this drives the process (rather than want the community wants).	Attachment 1 request the following policy be inserted: 'To prohibit the construction of turbines and any associated wind farm activity within the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park' with the following definition inserted: 'Wind Farm Associated Activity/ancillary wind farm activity: includes any activity undertaken to facilitate development, construction, operation and maintenance of the Puketiro wind farm, which will: (i) Have a permanent or temporary adverse effect on the integrity of the natural character and environmental/amenity values of the park; or (ii) Affect the public's right to use and enjoy of the park at all times.' Changes are requested because of the significant impact the activities will have on the Park's landscape, terrain, erosion, soil management and public access and use. Attachment 2 (p. 12-14) discusses the road in some detail and outlines concerns at its impact on the park.	Attachment 1 outlines the requested changes in the Draft Parks Network Plan consulted on in 2010 Attachment 2 outlines the requested changes in the Draft Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan consulted on in 2009 Attachment 3 is a letter from GW in response to clarification sought by Diane and Nicky on the current consultation.	Requests prohibition on turbines and any associated wind farm activity (refer Attachment 1 para 58). Requests that references to the wind farm using the track in question (at that point entering from Pauatahanui Road) is deleted on the basis that GWRC is unable to grant this pre-approval and express policy be added restricting the use of this track for forestry purposes only and prohibiting the track from being used as access to the wind farm (Attachment 2, para 78).

# Attachment 1: Tabulated summary of submissions

No.	Name	Process	Road impact	Wind farm	Decision sought
19	Tara Cooper and Tony Elliot	Appears wind farm access road and underground services are being granted because of contractual obligations. The provision now being consulted on brings no benefit to the Park or its users, and indeed takes away from the park's values.	<p>This type of commercial development is inappropriate and not in accord with the vision and guiding principles.</p> <p>Eastern hills should be protected - the wind farm road size and scale would have a negative impact, threatening land erosion and spoiling the landscape.</p> <p>As a regular user of biking and horse riding tracks, concerned for access - not only during construction phase but through the life of the windfarm. Cites West Wind.</p>		Reinstate policies and intent from the 2009 Plan into the current plan (and thus remove all reference to wind farm development)
20	Jock and Lyn Simpson		Activities will have impact on landscape, terrain, erosion and soil management. Inconsistent with other park values.		Reinstate policies and intent from the 2009 Plan into the current plan (and thus remove all reference to wind farm development)
21	Peter Rowbotham		<p>Road and underground services is inconsistent with the plan (principles and the key characteristics of the park).</p> <p>Inappropriate to have commercial activity that does not provide any benefit to the park.</p> <p>Concern re road size and resultant clearing, damage and sediment.</p> <p>Contours are steep and ridges would need to be removed, high risk of erosion.</p> <p>Public access could be restricted, cites West Wind.</p>		Reinstate policies and intent from the 2009 Plan into the current plan (and thus remove all reference to wind farm development)
22	Matt Rebbeck (RES)		<p>Provides a preliminary track assessment for the wind farm access road in question</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Design makes use of the existing track where possible, with modifications to reduce curvature and gradient (12-15%), these cater for the largest component - an extended blade trailer delivery vehicle. 1.8km in length</li> <li>- Outlines the construction traffic during the different phases. Post construction (operational phase) it is mainly access for service vehicles and heavy vehicles if/when parts require replacement. Daily traffic expected to be 8 vehicle movements per day</li> <li>- Life of 25 years and progressive removal by crane. 40-50 vehicle movements per day</li> <li>- Traffic Management plan would be prepared, and take into account recreational activities that are expected to continue</li> <li>- Notes no wind turbines will be located within the park</li> </ul>		Does not specify